

1 COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT: 2 Mr. Lewis Bumpus, Chairman Ms. Geneil Dillehay 3 Mr. John Waddle Ms. Diane Scher 4 Mr. Chuck Chipman STAFF MEMBER PRESENT: б Mr. Alan Leiserson, Advisory Attorney

1 MR. HIMES: I would like to say good evening and welcome tonight. My name is Tommy Himes. I am 2 3 simply leading this meeting tonight on behalf of the Tennessee Department of Environment and Conservation and the Tennessee 4 Municipal Solid Waste Advisory Committee. 5 6 Before I proceed, I would like to acknowledge some of our elected officials and their 7 8 representatives that are here tonight, as well as the members of the Solid Waste Advisory Committee. Also, the Rutherford 9 County Mayor, Mr. Ernest Burgess -- are you here? 10 11 (Applause) 12 MR. HIMES: We have State Representative Kent Coleman. 13 14 Would you please be recognized? 15 (Applause) 16 MR. HIMES: State Senator Bill Ketron. 17 (Applause) 18 MR. HIMES: Mr. Clint Hall, from Senator 19 Tracy's office. 20 (Applause) 21 MR. HIMES: Mr. Todd Steidly, who is with Representative John Hood's office. 22 23 (Applause) 24 MR. HIMES: Mr. Kent Syler, who is with 25 Congressman Bart Gordon's office.

1	(Applause)
2	MR. HIMES: That's all of the names of the
3	elected officials that I have been able to gather. Is there
4	anyone else here elected official that would like to be
5	recognized at this time?
6	MR. PEAY: Commissioner Bob Peay.
7	MR. HIMES: Commissioner Bob Peay.
8	(Applause)
9	MR. HIMES: Anyone else?
10	(Pause)
11	MR. HIMES: Commissioner Jack Black.
12	(Applause)
13	MR. DANIEL: Commissioner Jim Daniel.
14	MR. HIMES: Commissioner Jim Daniel.
15	Thank you.
16	Yes, sir?
17	MR. SHAFER: Commissioner Doug Shafer,
18	from La Vergne.
19	MR. HIMES: Commissioner Doug Shafer.
20	(Applause)
21	MR. HIMES: Thank you.
22	Yes, sir?
23	MR. KELLEY: Grant Kelley, with Regional
24	Solid Waste Board for our region.
25	MR. HIMES: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Kelley.

1 (Applause) 2 MR. HIMES: Anyone else? 3 (Pause) 4 MR. HIMES: Okay. Let me introduce, 5 quickly, the members of the Advisory Committee that are here tonight: Mr. Lewis Bumpus, who is the Chair of that 6 committee; Ms. Geneil Dillehay; Mr. John Waddle; Ms. Diane 7 8 Scher; Mr. Chuck Chipman, also over on the right. Those are 9 the committee members present here tonight. 10 Now, I won't speak very long, but I'll 11 briefly describe tonight's meeting and the process that is being followed by the Solid Waste Advisory Committee to 12 13 develop the recommendations regarding this subject tonight. 14 The purpose of the meeting tonight is to 15 provide an opportunity for the public to offer comments to the 16 Tennessee Municipal Solid Waste Advisory Committee regarding 17 the disposal of the Bulk Survey for Release waste material 18 Class I landfills in Tennessee. This meeting is limited to 19 that topic because that is the job the Legislature has given 20 to the Solid Waste Advisory Committee. Please do not use your 21 time -- if you are making comments, on other subjects, like 22 activities of the U.S. Department of Energy or the nuclear power industry, because the Committee will not be addressing 23 24 those issues.

25

The Tennessee General Assembly passed

Public Chapter 584 last month. Tonight we are only concerned
 about one aspect of the new law, namely, what it does in
 regard to BSFR waste. It directs the Solid Waste Advisory
 Committee to make recommendations regarding this waste by
 September 3, and it imposes a moratorium on the disposal of
 the BSFR waste at the Middle Point Landfill in Rutherford
 County, pending those recommendations.

Let me tell you what the Solid Waste 8 Advisory Committee is. It was created by a law, in 1991, to 9 10 advise the Department on matters regarding the implementation 11 of the Solid Waste Management Act. It is comprised of people 12 representing a wide variety of interest, including counties, 13 municipalities, environmental groups, the tire industry, the 14 waste industry, the public at large, development districts, 15 the recycling industry, and agriculture.

16 At their meeting, on July the 5th -- a 17 couple of weeks ago -- the Advisory Committee began its 18 process of working toward those recommendations. It approved 19 a schedule of meetings over the next 60 days, and they heard a 20 presentation from the Department on what BSFR material is and 21 how this material is regulated. If any of you are interested, 22 we can provide you a video of those presentations and copies 23 of the documents presented on the Department's website. 24 I think on the cards -- if you signed 25 up -- it asked if you wanted a video. And you'll

1 automatically be sent one.

2	The meeting tonight is the next step of
3	the process the Advisory Committee is following. The
4	Department and the Committee recognize the importance of
5	hearing from any members of the public who have an interest in
6	this particular subject. As well as this meeting in
7	Murfreesboro, there are some other scheduled meetings. And if
8	you would like to write them down, let me just tell you what
9	they will be.
10	A meeting of the Advisory Committee will
11	be at the L&C Tower in Nashville it's the corner of Fourth
12	and Church at 10:30 a.m., on July the 24th, to hear from
13	the public. They will be taking those comments again at that
14	meeting. That is next Tuesday, I think.
15	
10	The next event on the timetable is the
16	The next event on the timetable is the meeting of the Advisory Committee at 10:00 a.m., on August the
16	meeting of the Advisory Committee at 10:00 a.m., on August the
16 17	meeting of the Advisory Committee at 10:00 a.m., on August the 16th. At this meeting the Department will present to the
16 17 18	meeting of the Advisory Committee at 10:00 a.m., on August the 16th. At this meeting the Department will present to the Committee a transcript of your comments, as well as a summary
16 17 18 19	meeting of the Advisory Committee at 10:00 a.m., on August the 16th. At this meeting the Department will present to the Committee a transcript of your comments, as well as a summary of those comments. The Department will also present to the
16 17 18 19 20	meeting of the Advisory Committee at 10:00 a.m., on August the 16th. At this meeting the Department will present to the Committee a transcript of your comments, as well as a summary of those comments. The Department will also present to the Committee its position on the issues that have been raised on
16 17 18 19 20 21	meeting of the Advisory Committee at 10:00 a.m., on August the 16th. At this meeting the Department will present to the Committee a transcript of your comments, as well as a summary of those comments. The Department will also present to the Committee its position on the issues that have been raised on this entire process. The Committee will begin its
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	meeting of the Advisory Committee at 10:00 a.m., on August the 16th. At this meeting the Department will present to the Committee a transcript of your comments, as well as a summary of those comments. The Department will also present to the Committee its position on the issues that have been raised on this entire process. The Committee will begin its deliberations at that time.

1 to the public.

2 Now, this is the process we will follow 3 tonight: As you came in, there were cards at the table for 4 everyone to use to sign in and a place to indicate whether or not you wanted to make an oral comment. Is there anyone who 5 has not filled out a card that would like to make an oral 6 7 comment? 8 (Pause) 9 MR. HIMES: Okay. We have 50 or so people who would like to speak tonight. It is now about 6:40, and 10 11 this meeting is scheduled to end at 9:00, which we may run a little bit longer than that. 12 13 We want to allow everyone who wants to 14 speak tonight to be able to do so. Therefore, what we are 15 going to do is allow each speaker, in the beginning, five 16 minutes. I have a little timer. When you hear a little bell 17 go off, that means four minutes has lapsed and then take the 18 next minute, if you need it, to wrap up your comments. 19 Now, if there is time, after everyone has 20 spoken -- that wants to -- we will allow anyone who was not able to finish his or her remarks to have some additional time 21 at that time. People cannot give some or all of their time to 22 23 another speaker. 24 So as we do it, I will call out the first 25 speaker and two additional speakers.

1 Yes, ma'am? 2 AUDIENCE MEMBER: I have a question. You 3 said there are 50 who have asked to speak, and you're going to allow them five minutes. That's 250 minutes. That's four 4 hours. That's not going to work. 5 6 MR. HIMES: We don't think everyone will take the full five minutes. A lot of people don't, they just 7 8 want to make a few comments. 9 AUDIENCE MEMBER: It could mean some people can get two minutes and some get five. 10 11 MR. HIMES: No. We're going to limit it to five. You have from one second to five minutes. And 12 13 that's in order to try and be fair to everybody. It means 14 some might talk longer than others. 15 Like I said, if we have time at the end, 16 and people don't use up the whole time, you will be allowed to 17 come back at that time and finish making your comments. 18 AUDIENCE MEMBER: If there are any 19 questions, are you going to answer them? 20 MR. HIMES: No, ma'am. We are only taking comments tonight. I couldn't answer your questions. 21 22 Honestly. We're taking your comments to present them to the 23 Advisory Committee. 24 AUDIENCE MEMBER: Will they be written 25 questions that you will answer later?

MR. HIMES: Yes, ma'am. They will be 1 2 answered. We will present them to the Committee, and they 3 will be answered. 4 Okay. I will ask you also, if you don't mind, not to applaud or boo any of the speakers, as that will 5 also cut into the time of the next speaker. We also want to 6 extend every courtesy and respect to the people who are 7 8 speaking and saying what they want to say. 9 Please remember to keep your comments to the subject of the meeting, which is the Bulk Survey for 10 11 Release material going to Tennessee landfills. 12 We thank you and appreciate you following these rules. 13 14 At this time we will begin. As I call your name -- I will call three -- the first will come up and 15 16 the other two can sit in these chairs (indicating) so that you 17 will be right here and ready to go. 18 Ms. Betsy Allgood; Mr. Mark Quarles; 19 Ms. Pat Sanders. 20 And if you would, Ms. Allgood, come up first. If you would, give your name first and then make your 21 22 comments. 23 Thank you. 24 MS. ALLGOOD: Hi. My name is 25 Betsy Allgood. And I am one of the cofounders of the group

ENDIT. 1 2 What I would like to say is that TDEC has asked us to use common sense when addressing this issue. It 3 is not common sense to think that it's economical to haul 4 concrete; dirt -- et cetera -- from California, Washington 5 State, and Michigan, if there where any other viable options. 6 7 I'd like to know from TDEC what other 8 states accept decommission nuclear plant wastes -- two municipal landfills that were never created for this. 9 10 I also would like to point out, it's not 11 common sense to put a landfill on a primary water source --12 Stones River -- which supplies water to approximately a 13 quarter of a million persons. 14 It is also not common sense to put the 15 landfill at Middle Point where the ground is made up of caves, 16 sinkholes, and poor soil. 17 It's not common sense to think that the 18 landfill cannot leak, especially when we know that the liner 19 was breached. 20 And lastly, I would also like to say that it is not common sense to hire an independent consultant, 21 22 Lisa Stetar -- and I believe that's how you say her name --23 who was once employed by TDEC. 24 Thank you very much. 25 MR. HIMES: State your name before you

1 speak, please.

25

MR. QUARLES: My name is Mark Quarles. I 2 3 appreciate the opportunity to be here. 4 I have about 20 years of experience doing 5 landfill groundwater monitoring; waste management; landfill design -- that sort of thing. 6 7 The problem with this Bulk Survey Program 8 has really been going on for 15 years, and it's been self-regulating -- meaning they, alone, in some respects, are 9 making the sole discretion for individual waste load 10 11 suitability to go to the landfill. And that is happening with no backup plan to really identify any abuses of the system. 12 13 We all know that abuses of the system and dishonesty, 14 unfortunately, occurs every day and every minute around the 15 country. 16 We talked about -- we heard in the news 17 about the mathematical RESRAD program and doing the modeling 18 to insure that the public is protected. Really, this is more 19 than a mathematical model; this is a landfill. It's a very imperfect science of -- it's a perfect science of designing, 20 but it is an imperfect science of operating and constructing 21 these landfills. 22 23 As we realized, in August 2005, I believe it was, the consultant for the landfill drilled an 8-inch 24

> Cannon & Stacy Court Reporters (615) 822-9382

diameter hole through the bottom of the liner. So we know

that this is a very -- it's a program that is right for abuse,
 and particularly -- given the location at Stones River - presents a large problem.

And there are millions of tons of this waste that have been disposed at five landfills across the state. Four of the five are known to be leaking, per TDEC and FPA rules. So that should concern everybody in this room, because Bulk Survey waste is going to a landfill that is known to be leaking.

Now, Middle Point is not one of those.
However, that's subject to interpretation based on the
adequacy of the monitoring system, which I will talk about.
Most importantly, I guess, of the five -there is only five of 225 landfills in the state where they're
actually doing any cleanup of any contaminates in the
groundwater. So that affects everybody here, in the event of

17 a release.

18 There has never, ever been a single
19 groundwater monitoring sample collected from any well in the
20 state of Tennessee at a landfill for radionuclides. Why not?
21 It's senseless.

There has never, ever been any background sampling prior to any placement of wastes for contaminants, radionuclides, as required for every other contaminant that goes into that landfill. Interesting enough, the processor is

the one who is really making that decision, at the end of the
 day, on what is suitable to go to these landfills.

And most importantly, the generators are not -- they're held confidential. So in a typical hazardous waste disposal situation, which their response -- well, cradle the grave is the rule of thumb, or expression -- the generators remain anonymous in this situation.

8 So what really is concerning is, we have 9 approved the landfill for however many number of years. There 10 is an existing groundwater monitoring system that we know is 11 inadequate to monitor contaminants that go to the fork of the 12 Stones River. The wells are too deep. There's not enough of 13 them. They're sampling springs. Springs -- if they're 14 contaminated, it's already in the river. It's senseless.

15 Right now with the proposed rule, they're 16 going to require that they sample every 400 cubic yards to 17 determine whether or not the material is suitable to go in the 18 landfill. So is 400 cubic yards adequate enough to protect 19 your family? In my book it's not.

20 Keep in mind the liner is only required to 21 last 30 years. The life of the landfill -- plus 30 years. So 22 what happens after 30 years?

And we have all heard about and read about in the paper the 8-inch diameter hole that was drilled through the bottom of the liner. EPA says a one square centimeter

hole the size of a dime could release up 3300 gallons a day of
 leachate into the groundwater. That's one dime -- the size
 of a dime.

4 All right. So I guess we just ask for, you know, everybody to consider the relevant factors in how 5 qualified independent experts -- not people who used to work 6 for TDEC who have invested business in the nuclear business. 7 8 So I hope everybody speaks up, and everything, and the right thing is done. 9 10 Thank you. 11 MR. HIMES: I'll also ask Mr. Darrell Powell to come on down. He will be following. 12 13 MS. SANDERS: I put the sign there 14 (indicating). In case you don't know what E-N-D-I-T stands 15 for, it stands for the citizens to end it -- end nuclear 16 dumping in Tennessee. 17 So the petitions -- if you take some, and 18 you have relatives or friends in other parts of the state, it 19 is perfectly fine to have any other person in Tennessee sign 20 it -- although I think at the age 18 and up. My name is Pat Sanders, and I've lived 21 in Murfreesboro for almost 44 years. My late husband was 22 23 Dr. Bob Sanders. He was an employee of the Tennessee Department of Public Health for 25 years. He was called a 24 25 street-level bureaucrat. My daughter decided to call him that

because she was a sociology major. He was a pediatrician and
 director of the Rutherford County Health Department here in
 Murfreesboro.

4 There were 150 burning dumps in Rutherford 5 County, and in 1970 he was given a court order to find a spot with the Road Commissioner Bill Wilson where they could have a 6 place to put the garbage, rather than 150 burning dumps. And 7 8 as much as the people at Walter Hill were disappointed, they had to have a solution. And the commissioners decided it 9 would be at Walter Hill because of the deep soil, but 10 11 unfortunately it was next to the Stones River. 12 He didn't like it. People at Walter Hill 13 didn't like it. One family decided they didn't like it, but 14 they were going to lease their property -- the Morgan/Green 15 family. They leased their own property for garbage, even 16 though they had given him a lot of grief over it. 17 It was intended for only household 18 garbage. And it was for the public. It was not for BFI to be 19 running. And the County Commission got head weak somehow, 20 somewhere along the way. And I hope that this committee -which is SWAC -- has done their homework. Because many of us 21 22 with ENDIT, and other people, have done their homework. 23 Many of us attended a July 5th wedding --

24 excuse me. I am thinking wedding because the chairman of this 25 committee didn't come tonight -- or was he the co-chair. I

2

1 went to his parent's wedding in 1945, in Crossville,

Tennessee. He is the mayor of my hometown, Crossville,

3 Tennessee. 4 But they selected this consultant that is 5 being paid \$10,000. Her name is Lisa Stetar -- or something like that. S-T-E-T-A-R. A former employee of TDEC. 6 7 And so we just wondered, did they pick the 8 fox to guard the henhouse? We don't know. 9 This is your meeting -- this committee -but it is our time to speak tonight. We did not even get 10 11 acknowledged. And Bruce Wood had his hand up in the air the 12 whole time. So we were not allowed to give any feedback to the Advisory Committee. But we have been wondering, what are 13 14 the qualifications of the people on the committee? 15 And there is an environmentalist over here 16 (indicating) -- and I was glad to hear that -- from Smith 17 County. 18 But where is the biologist? Where is the 19 molecular biologist on this committee? And where is the 20 physician on this committee? My husband was a physician, as I just told 21 22 you. 23 Those departments, by the way -- health and environment -- used to be together. Why were they 24 25 separated? If this committee and TDEC is looking after our

public health, why were those departments ever separated into
 environment and conservation and health? That's been a thorn
 in our side.

And it looks to me like their main concern -- TDEC's main concern might be looking after the nuclear industry more than the health of the Tennesseans. We're beginning to wonder that.

8 Your guy Roger Finner gave a demonstration, and it had this rat-a-tat-tat sound. But he 9 had his monitor right next to the window where the sunshine 10 11 was coming in right on him. And he had glow-in-the-dark toys and a watch saying we have background radiations. Well, we 12 13 all know we have that from the sunshine and other stuff, but 14 you don't drink it. You don't ingest it. And we thought it 15 was a joke, the whole dog and pony show about that.

You-all fell for it -- the five of you -ror seven of you that are on this committee, and you believe that the levels of radiation in all of the stuff being dumped in Middle Point are safe. Well, wonder if you have visited the landfill, or did you-all just -- well, somebody was going to play golf today. That's beside the point.

But the whole thing about falling for the demonstration, it kind of gripped us because it was just not adequate. I felt it was a pretty lame thing about the levels of radiation. It didn't talk about radionuclides or isotopes

or anything. It just was saying background radiation, like
 glow-in-the-dark toys. No one mentioned that radiation
 accumulates in your body.

4 Part of my homework was talking to a Ph.D. in molecular biology. And you should do the same. You're 5 making a mistake to brush off the effect of radiation and what 6 happens to the human body when radiation alters your DNA, 7 8 causes mutations, then causes tumors, and then could cause 9 death. It happened to my husband -- leukemia, then lymphoma. 10 And the molecular biologists I talked to 11 said radiation causes cancer of the blood. That's what he had. And he might have gotten it through the years. He was 12 13 in medicine in the hospital. They wear little white badges. 14 They don't want to get too much because you're not suppose to 15 accumulate a certain amount.

16 Ronald Price was in the newspaper. I've 17 got his column over here (indicating). He's not a medical 18 doctor. He is a Ph.D. in radiological stuff at the medical 19 center at Vanderbilt. That's fine. He said, "We really do 20 not have a choice of whether or not we will be exposed to 21 radiation."

We certainly should have a choice, I say, of whether we should ingest radionuclides. This is a huge concern, and these should be -- it could be in our future drinking water downstream from the landfill.

The BEIR Report -- B-E-I-R -- VII Report 1 2 was distributed by a health physicists named Bob Applebaum. He is a Georgia Tech masters. He got his masters there in 3 4 health physics. There again, physicists, not biologists. And 5 he had a very audacious statement to dispute the National Academy of Sciences and the BEIR Report. 6 7 And BEIR means biological effects of 8 ionizing radiation. It's long been known that there is no safe level of ionizing radiation. 9 10 How can you-all be so sure that 1 milligram of radiation does not hurt the human body? 11 12 That's one question for this committee. How can you be so 13 sure about that? Because Eddie Nanney tells you so? 14 Eddie Nanney gave a talk. He had the 15 microphone. We couldn't hear him. If his stuff was so true, 16 why didn't he project and get it out to us? 17 There are different levels acceptable for 18 different people of radiation exposure, especially different 19 ages of humans. Children's bodies and cells are constantly 20 changing and growing. And the high numbers of leukemia cases near the high power lines, especially those big substations, 21 22 it's caused all the regulations to be changed about building 23 schools and houses near those high power lines. 24 I learned all this through the DOE. I 25 wasn't born yesterday. We fought the DOE on this, 20 years

> Cannon & Stacy Court Reporters (615) 822-9382

20

ago, because of the Superconducting Super Collider that they 1 2 won't even put here. 3 And I think you should study what 4 Dan Hirsch says in Santa Cruz, California, all about the testing that has been done here. 5 6 We understand it's a lot of money involved. It's a cash cow. But we'd like to know, is anyone 7 8 here from BFI tonight? 9 (Pause) 10 MS. SANDERS: Okay. It won't level 11 radiation. Why is all this stuff coming from California, Michigan, and Washington State? And why do they want to get 12 it out of their states? 13 14 South Carolina is stopping it in 2008. So 15 study the South Carolina site and find out what you can. 16 Deputy Paul Sloan said yesterday, "This 17 committee -- he said, "This committee is charged with 18 reporting recommendations back to the General Assembly by 19 September 3rd." We hope you will use every minute of your 20 time -- I am saying this -- to study your homework. Thank you for listening. And if you want 21 22 to petition, we need to turn them in by September 3rd to the 23 Governor. 24 Thank you. 25 MR. HIMES: Thank you.

1 Would Wayne Scharber and Doug Smith, also, 2 please come up front? You will follow Mr. Powell. 3 MR. POWELL: My name is Darrell Powell. I 4 live out on Jefferson Pike. And after following that young 5 lady, I don't know what I can say. The questions that I had -- the questions 6 7 I wanted to know is, when there is an accident with these 8 vehicles bringing this stuff in, what is the process for any 9 kind of cleanup? Because I know there has been accidents on Jefferson Pike. 10 11 And I would also like to know what -- how do you identify what trucks are bringing in this waste? 12 13 I think MBI -- which doesn't look like 14 they really take care of their trucks very well -- they have ran off the road a number of times on Jefferson Pike. And 15 16 after the last accident on 840 where there was quite a fire --17 I was out of town at the time -- all of a sudden they were 18 rerouted down 840 to -- I think it's 452 by the racetrack and 19 up Lebanon Road up over to the landfill. Every once and a 20 while I will see one of those trucks going down Jefferson Pike, but almost all of them are not being routed there 21 22 anymore. 23 So, anyway, I just wanted to know what is being done about any kind of cleanup when they have an 24 25 accident and how we can identify the types of trucks.

> Cannon & Stacy Court Reporters (615) 822-9382

22

That's all I have to say. 1 2 MR. HIMES: Thank you. 3 MR. SCHARBER: I am Wayne Scharber, vice 4 president for Environmental Affairs, Tennessee Chamber of Commerce and Industry, Nashville, Tennessee. 5 6 The Chamber is a trained organization established in 1912 to represent manufacturers, industry, and 7 8 businesses in this state. My experience includes 36 years of 9 employment with the environmental regulatory departments of Public Health, Health and Environment, and the Department of 10 11 Environment and Conservation. When I retired in 1999 from Government, I 12 13 had, from the prior 12 years, been either the deputy 14 commissioner or the assistant commissioner for environment, 15 and over the divisions of Radiological Health and Solid Waste 16 Management, as well as others. The responsibility of these 17 divisions was to enforce and assure compliance with 18 scientifically sound and adopted standards to protect public 19 health and environment for Tennesseans and the millions of 20 visitors traveling to or through the state annually. The State of Tennessee has shown its 21 22 ability to enforce the adopted safe standards for materials 23 that may be disposed in a permitted sanitary Class I landfill. When state laws are at the first law -- while state laws are 24 25 the first level of state regulatory programs, these programs

are subject to review any level of oversight by federal 1 agencies to assure that federally established, scientifically 2 based standards are implemented and complied with to assure 3 4 the protection of the public's health and the environment. 5 As was presented in testimony by the professional and technically experienced staff on July the 6 7 5th, 2007, before the Advisory Committee, the standards for 8 radioactivity level in the materials received at Middle Point landfill are being enforced. That staff also reflected, with 9 proof, the level of safety in the standards, as compared to 10 11 radioactivity levels found in some everyday exposed backgrounds. 12 13 The materials with this regulated level of 14 radioactivity can safely be accepted in these Class I 15 landfills, and it is not necessary to be disposed in a 16 low-level radioactive waste landfill or any hazardous waste 17 landfill. 18 Citizens should not be misdirected and 19 alarmed with factual errors and misrepresentations about 20 materials containing radioactivity. Citizens should be 21 fully informed with available information from responsible 22 regulatory and elected officials. 23 The state program regulators should continue effective monitoring and enforcement of the 24

25 scientifically based standards to assure your protection, your

1 health and safety, business and industry, and those processing 2 and transporting and managing for disposal radioactive 3 materials. And the State should be held fully accountable to 4 meet the standards and responsibilities imposed by the 5 regulatory programs within the Tennessee Department of 6 Environment and Conservation.

Business, industries, and waste companies can, and will, comply with the responsible standards and understandable practices. The Tennessee Chamber of Commerce and Industry -- on behalf of many of these industries -supports the existing standards, practices, and policies of the state regulators to protect our environment and the public health and safety.

14 The Chamber appreciates this opportunity 15 to share these remarks with the Solid Waste Advisory Committee 16 as it makes recommendations to the Commissioner pursuant to 17 Section 2(c) of Public Chapter 584.

18 Thank you.

19 MR. HIMES: Mr. Smith. Also,

20 Mr. Harvey Farr and Mr. Jim Ridley, please come on down to the 21 front, please.

22 MR. SMITH: My name is Doug Smith. I am a 23 20-year veteran in the United States Navy. I retired in 2002 24 qualified for command with the nuclear powered submarine and a 25 certified engineer on the nuclear powered submarine. For 20

1 years of my life nuclear power and nuclear energy and radiation was dealt with -- I dealt with on a daily basis. 2 3 I also live in Walter Hill and water my 4 tomatoes from water from my pump and my well. 5 In the late 1950s -- and I teach, by the way, here -- now that I am retired -- high school math and 6 7 physics and chemistry. 8 In the late 1950s, early 1960s, there was a lake in California, and they had a mosquito problem. And 9 the locals wanted to get rid of the mosquitoes, so they used a 10 11 chemical called "DDD" -- a companion chemical to DDT, which most of us have heard about -- at least if you're over 40. 12 13 They were very conservative with their use of DDD. They used 14 one part in a million to spray the first time. And it killed 15 the mosquitoes. 16 After three years the mosquitoes came 17 back. So, also conservatively, they decided the next time 18 they would spray one part and 70 million. A very conservative 19 estimate to use, scientifically based. 20 After another couple of years, most of the birds started to die. When they investigated, they found high 21 concentrations of DDD in the fish and wildlife around the lake 22 23 area. 24 My concern after working with nuclear 25 energy for so long is, we are generating materials that don't

> Cannon & Stacy Court Reporters (615) 822-9382

26

go away after a few years like chemicals do. They concentrate
 and stay around for many years.

If you see a report on the half-life of the material, usually the order of some of them could be 1,000 years. Even if it is just 20 years, a conservative estimate for a scientist will say that that material is still strong for five half-lives. So if you've got a 20-year half-life, it's not gone away significantly. It's not completely gone until after 100 years -- five of those half-lives.

10 So we are talking about material that has 11 the potential to stay around for a long period of time to concentrate in our water. And as it has been discussed 12 13 earlier, it could come up probably -- to be honest with you, 14 I'm not worried about the water I drink or the water that I 15 spray my tomatoes with. I'm not worried with the water that 16 my children play with. But I am concerned about what's going 17 to happen 100 years from now.

18 Because fundamentally speaking -- at the 19 fundamental level you might have a lot of facts and knowledge 20 about radiation and nuclear power and radioactivity, but 21 fundamentally we don't even really know why those little particles behave the way they do. We've got a lot of ideas, 22 23 but no real facts. And we don't know what is going to happen in Middle Point Landfill or Walter Hill over the next 100 24 years, and I am more concerned about my great grandkids 25

1 playing on our property.

2 Thank you for your time. 3 MR. FARR: Hi. My name is Harvey Farr, 4 and I am a biology major. I really appreciate the opportunity 5 to talk to you folks. I got into nuclear power 26 years ago. I 6 7 was doing research on acid rain. I started thinking about 8 some of the benefits of using radioactive materials, where 9 that was going to fit in. I realized that it is important to use radioactive materials in a lot of different aspects of our 10 11 lives: for radiation; for medicine; for some of the instruments and gages we use for nuclear energy. 12 13 But it is also important that we manage 14 them responsibly. So I have made my career protecting the 15 public, the workers, and the environment. 16 I was a manager at Connecticut Yankee, one 17 of the facilities that uses the BSFR process. And I also 18 worked with Studsvik, which is one of the BSFR processors. So 19 that is exactly who I am. I just wanted to give you folks 20 some information to help you think about weighing that benefit 21 versus risk. Okay. 22 The Health Physics Society is a group of 23 engineers and physicists and biologists from all different walks of science and profession that study and promulgate the 24 25 practice of health physics -- which is protection of the

workers. They have been around since the '50s, and they have
 a couple of papers that talk about risks.

3 You are going to hear an awful lot about 4 there is no safe level; there's no safe level. That's a linear threshold theory that we use to ensure that we are 5 constantly trying to improve and reduce the exposure. But 6 there comes a point where the risks get so small that it 7 8 really doesn't bear much and shouldn't affect our decisions 9 when we're talking about things that we can't benefit from. 10 So I have provided you with that position 11 paper. And basically what it says is below 5,000 millirem in a year, for 10,000 millirem in a lifetime, there is no 12 13 demonstrated increase in your cancer risk for the deleterious 14 effects genetically from radiation exposure. 15 And this stuff has been studied for a long 16 time, and studied by a lot of people: International Committee 17 of Radiation Protection, ICRP; National Committee of Radiation 18 Protection, NCRP. All of those folks study this and make 19 recommendations. Okay. 20 The second thing I want to talk to you 21 about is, there is only three facilities where you can dispose 22 this waste right now -- Class A low-level waste -- in this 23 country. Only one of them is open to all folks. Some of them 24 are compacts. 25 What's been happening to the costs of

1 disposing of rad waste is going up and up and up and we have
2 limited capacity in those landfills.

3 So if you take that capacity and you use 4 it on that extremely low-level stuff, what happens is, it drives up the costs of medical research; it drives up the 5 costs of scientific research; it drives up the costs of 6 disposing of and decommissioning the facilities that have been 7 8 used, like Oak Ridge for DOE or the nuclear power plants. And we all bear the burden of that. And it also makes an 9 incentive for having that stuff hanging around, instead of 10 11 having it put into a landfill that is designed for wastes. 12 So there's the Health Physics Society 13 position paper on low-level waste that I would like for you to 14 also consider. I've left these up front. Take a look at 15 them. Google it -- the Health Physics Society. Get out. 16 Read about it. I think that all the points are very important 17 for people to think about, but also think about the benefits 18 we get from radioactive materials and the use of radiation 19 relative to your risks. 20 Thank you for the opportunity. MR. HIMES: Thank you. 21 22 Along with Mr. Ridley, I would also like 23 to ask Mr. Aaron Segobiano and Ms. Aquilina Lewellen. 24 And I apologize if I butchered those too 25 bad.

1 If you-all will come on down, you will be 2 next. 3 MR. RIDLEY: I don't know that any of us here are too concerned with the economics of the whole thing. 4 That's how we got in this in the first place. 5 6 Of any of these learning statistics that we've been hearing all night -- I will tell you that I've 7 8 lived across from the landfill since its inception. My wife 9 and I -- along with about five other couples -- fought, bled, and died trying to keep it away. We brought affidavits from 10 11 the State Department of Environment, experts that -- who are now among the top experts in Tennessee -- are saying that it 12 13 was going to be harmful to put it where it was. 14 We could not convince the Health 15 Department. We could not convince the county commissioners. 16 Even those that we felt like were supporters and friends, we 17 felt like had betrayed us, honestly, in this fight, because it 18 was so economically important that Rutherford County should 19 have a place to put the garbage. Well, if we put it out with 20 the drinking water, well, so be it. We do have a few other -- many of the 21 22 concerns that I have, have already been covered by some far better speakers than I am. 23 24 I wonder about the future of the landfill. 25 What happens to it after BFI Waste leaves it? What happens to

> Cannon & Stacy Court Reporters (615) 822-9382

31

it then? If it is starting to leak and contaminate 1 everything, then what? Are they responsible? 2 3 No, I don't imagine. I imagine they will 4 be long gone from St. Louis by that time. And so then we'll be left with it -- Rutherford County. I doubt the State of 5 Tennessee will be a whole lot of help, although they have 6 given them permission to put it all here. 7 8 Then, I want to know a little bit about the responsibility for monitoring whatever goes into it. 9 10 We had had some other bad experiences. We were promised safety. That was one way that they managed to 11 12 put it here. We were promised that all the materials were 13 just going to be some garbage like you throw out the back 14 door. But, actually, the first thing we had found out that 15 was a little suspicious was when a truck fell -- a big sack 16 fell off a truck on the way to the landfill at Walter Hill, 17 and it was full of medical wastes from one of the big 18 hospitals in Nashville. 19 We hadn't been told there would be any 20 medical wastes in the landfill, and all of a sudden it was quickly covered up. But it continued to come. And I can't 21 22 imagine how much is. 23 Then a truckload of dead dogs turned over on 231. It was bound for the landfill. So, you know, there's 24

25 all kinds of wonderful things in -- besides the radioactivity,

you've got all kinds of wonderful good things out there, 1 2 buried. 3 Like the man that said the size of a dime 4 that would leak all over the -- was it 30,000 gallons that would get into it? I imagine the holes in it are bigger than 5 the size of a dime. 6 7 I wonder about the committee that 8 decided to -- the radioactivity study -- how many of the representatives are from the five counties where it's going to 9 be dumped? Are they all from these counties? 10 11 And what other counties are particularly concerned? It's like the people that live across the 12 13 county that were perfectly willing for the landfill to be 14 in Walter Hill. It was not going to be on the other side 15 of the county. It was not going to be in Smyrna where the 16 County Judge was from. 17 And so the people in Walter Hill have 18 fought a long battle with the landfill. 19 (Pause) 20 MR. RIDLEY: That's from inhaling the odor from the landfill. 21 22 MR. HIMES: Is Mr. Segobiano here? Will 23 Mr. Segobiano come down? 24 Also, Ms. Kathleen Ferris and 25 Dr. and Ms. Norman Ferris, please, also, come to the front.

> Cannon & Stacy Court Reporters (615) 822-9382

33

1 You'll be next.

2	MS. LEWELLEN: I don't have any particular
3	expertise in radioactivity or nuclear waste, but I do think
4	when we talk about risks and economic benefits, we have to
5	consider and when you talk about a general proportion and
6	general safety there are many people that may not be able
7	to take the standard dose of radioactivity. Which is people
8	with compromised immune systems. There isn't much, as far as
9	research, on what effect it would have on those people, say
10	people that have already been treated with radioactivity or
11	nuclear medicine.
12	We also have to consider that when you add
13	the costs of treating these people into our state budget we
14	all know what happens when TennCare gets overloaded. So there
15	are other economic factors and risks that have to be assessed
16	when you're considering this overall picture.
17	From a personal point of view, I think any
18	radioactivity near a major water source, for a community like
19	ours, is just a danger that should not be tolerated.
20	My other concern is that, what I see with
21	many of these meetings is that they are held at times when
22	most people cannot get to them: people who really need to be
23	informed about this that don't generally think about these
24	topics in their day-to-day lives; people who have to work two
25	jobs; people that if there is some health risks and one of

their children becomes a victim, or they become a victim, they 1 will be wiped out either economically or physically by this. 2 3 I think it needs the utmost caution, and 4 we should err on the side of extremely conservative approaches to this. And you need to know what are the other 130 5 chemicals -- and, you know, hazardous materials that are being 6 allowed to be dumped here. It just seems to be an untenable 7 8 situation at present. MS. FERRIS: Hello. My name is 9 Kathleen Ferris. And I am one of the cofounders of Citizens 10 11 to End Nuclear Dumping in Tennessee. One of our main concerns is the manner in 12 13 which the BSFR program has been enshrouded in secrecy from the 14 beginning. We want to know, precisely, what materials are 15 going into our landfill, and where did they originate. And we 16 want to know what was put into Middle Point Landfill before 17 the BSFR program was instituted. 18 Why should information from firms that 19 have long since been closed -- Quadrics and American Ecology 20 Recycle Center -- be considered proprietary? 21 Another of my questions is, what public 22 hearings were conducted in Rutherford County, or elsewhere in Tennessee, before the BSFR program was instituted? And if so, 23 where and when were such hearings conducted? 24 25 And we want to know who -- by name and

office -- was responsible for approving this program which 1 allows the dumping of radioactive waste in municipal 2 landfills. Which were never intended for such use. 3 4 Exactly when did BSFR begin to put 5 radioactive waste in Middle Point? After the BSFR program was adopted, what, 6 7 if any, notification was given, in writing, to our state 8 legislators, to our Rutherford County mayor, or to our county 9 commissioners to indicate that the State would be accepting radioactive waste produced out of state and would be placing 10 that waste in our municipal landfills? Or was anyone informed 11 12 that radioactive waste would be taken from Oak Ridge and transported to Middle Point Landfill? 13 14 Nobody in TDEC mentions money, but the 15 State of Tennessee is taking in large amounts of money from 16 the BSFR program, and so does Rutherford County. How much per 17 ton is the State paid? How much is the County paid? And how 18 much does that total per year for each one? 19 When the hearings were held in 2006 to 20 consider the expansion of Middle Point Landfill, citizens were 21 told that no toxic dumping would take place at Middle Point. 22 Was any mention made of the very low-level radioactive waste 23 that was being dumped -- or that had been dumped there for at 24 least ten years? 25 Furthermore, we are curious about the name

> Cannon & Stacy Court Reporters (615) 822-9382

36

of this program, BSFR. When we first heard it, we thought it 1 stood for "BS for Rutherford." Why wasn't the word "nuclear" 2 or "radioactive" included to describe more accurately what 3 4 this program does? 5 Likewise, why is the term "special waste" used to indicate radioactive waste? Why not just say 6 "radioactive waste"? Doesn't this sound like a further 7 8 attempt to keep the real nature of what's happening from 9 public attention? 10 In response to our questions about what materials specifically are going into Middle Point Landfill, 11 Mr. Paul Sloan quoted to us T.C.A. 68-202-217. This law 12 states that information supplied to TDEC is defined as 13 14 proprietary and is confidential. So we can't know what's 15 going into the landfill. 16 Who is responsible for the Tennessee law 17 that protects the polluters instead of the people? Did BFI 18 write these laws? And why does this law supercede the 19 Tennessee Open Records Law? What is so secretive that it must 20 be protected? When things are considered for official 21 22 use only, as the news of the spill of highly activated uranium 23 at Oak Ridge last year -- how many of you have heard about that -- it makes us think that something bad is being hidden. 24 25 Even Congress wasn't told about that spill.

The first section of the Tennessee 1 Sunshine Law reads, "The General Assembly hereby declares it 2 to be the policy of this state that the formation of public 3 4 policy and decisions is public business and shall not be conducted in secret." We think the spirit of this law should 5 be applied to information which affects the public health. 6 7 Furthermore, the Tennessee Constitution 8 opens with this section, "That all power is inherent in the 9 people, and all free governments are founded on their authority, and instituted for their peace, safety, and 10 11 happiness." We want TDEC and the Solid Waste Advisory 12 Committee to observe the constitution. 13 14 MR. HIMES: Is Dr. or Ms. Norman Ferris not here? 15 16 (Pause) 17 MR. HIMES: Mr. John Dvincenzo, 18 Kathy Sobotka, and Robert Peay, if they will all three come 19 and make their comments, please. 20 While they are coming, I was informed that I forgot to -- I apologize, it was an oversight on my part --21 22 for a very important announcement for folks my age or older -where the restrooms are. Out the back doors -- that door 23 (indicating) -- and down the hall, they're right there on the 24 25 left. They're also on the right out there. Sorry.

> Cannon & Stacy Court Reporters (615) 822-9382

1 AUDIENCE MEMBER: What about the sign 2 being hooked on the front? Is the State or the Committee 3 endorsing it? 4 MR. HIMES: Do you want me to quit 5 speaking? No, I didn't put it there. I promise. 6 MS. SANDERS: I put it there. 7 MR. HIMES: Oh, okay. Ms. Sanders put it 8 there. 9 MS. SANDERS: I plead guilty. 10 MR. PEAY: My name is Robert Peay. I am one of the county commissioners here in Rutherford County. I 11 12 live adjacent to the Stones River. I own property on the Stones River. I'm also on the Public Works Committee that 13 14 oversees the County's landfill. And we get records of, you 15 know, all the dumps that -- the different waste sites in 16 Rutherford County. 17 I've been on several committees, and I 18 sympathize with you folks here. You're pretty much in the hot 19 seat. But I've got several questions that I would like to 20 ask. And some information that I've been given, I would like 21 to share with you. 22 And I think that, probably, you are in the 23 same situation we're in. We're not given a lot of information. We're kept in the dark and that makes you think 24 25 something is wrong, something is going on, and things are

> Cannon & Stacy Court Reporters (615) 822-9382

being hidden from us. If not, that's fine. I just want to 1 get this out in the open. 2 3 I've actually got a map here of the 4 landfill. It was taken by the County -- I think in 2004 -during the flyover. I have a couple of points on this map I 5 want to point out to you and ask. I was actually wanting some 6 answers to this tonight, but I understand not being able to 7 8 answer all the questions here. 9 I am going to have a hard time with this map, if somebody could help me. 10 11 I don't have a prepared speech. I don't like to do that kind of stuff, and I'm not going to be very 12 long. But here are the questions that I've got. 13 14 First, is there a GPS trail? And I think 15 you folks know what I'm talking about. Basically, anything 16 that goes into that landfill, if it had to be recovered, could 17 you go in and locate its global position? If not, it should 18 be. 19 This section of the landfill 20 (indicating) -- right here is section 1. That is the oldest part of this landfill. Okay. Now, I have been led 21 22 to believe, every time I've heard somebody talk about this, 23 that it is one of the safest landfills that there are, even though BFI is known to have several landfills that are 24 25 leaking right now in this state and different areas.

The reason this one is so safe is because 1 2 it has this high-tech plastic liner. Well, I have been told that this section 1 here (indicating) only has a plate liner. 3 It does not have a plastic liner. If you've been dumping in 4 there since 1994 with this type material, it has been dumped 5 in this area (indicating). Okay. 6 7 There are some other points on here that 8 you can't see from there. There are a couple of wells --9 well, there are wells all the away around here (indicating) that are used for monitoring. Okay. 10 11 One of them was found hot here a while back with VOCs -- volatile organic chemicals. It had been 12 13 pumped and re-pumped and pumped some more. I don't know if 14 they ever cleaned that well out. And that is located right in 15 here (indicating). And that very -- that's one of the two 16 closest to the river. 17 The other one is right in this area 18 (indicating), I believe. I would have to look at the map 19 closer. I can't even see it from here. 20 But it was found to have -- be hot with 21 methane. And that's a monitored well. So they're not going to be able to pump that out. But methane is not supposed to 22 23 be released either. 24 Another question that I have for you, this 25 little darker spot (indicating) -- and those of you that have

> Cannon & Stacy Court Reporters (615) 822-9382

those little pages of the map, that's the same map that you've 1 got at your seat there. I don't know how well you can see on 2 them. But this (indicating) is retention pond 1. Okay. 3 4 Retention pond 1 is a -- supposed to be 5 monitored. And there should be data on that, what is being released on that. But it has a spill weight. It actually 6 goes up through these trees (indicating) and back around into 7 8 this barrier area (indicating). 9 Now, I don't know if you are going to call this barrier area over here (indicating) retention pond 2 or 10 11 if that's just the barrier area. But that barrier area that is a retention pond -- number 1 -- it has to be monitored. It 12 13 should be lined. But that is bare stone right there, and it's 14 probably got cracks in it. 15 I haven't seen a rock here in Middle 16 Tennessee that doesn't have a crack in it. And if it does 17 have a crack in it, that's a direct conduit into our 18 ecosystem, regardless of whether it is nuclear or whatever it 19 is. 20 So I question how well BFI -- and I question how well the State is monitoring this. I don't have 21 22 a lot of trust in the State of Tennessee right now. Probably 23 less than I do in BFI because it allows them what you folks 24 let them get away with. You didn't stop them with getting 25 away with this.

1	Yesterday, I heard that there was a
2	plumbing problem. There are actually three tanks now that
3	hold leachate. They have such a high rate of turnover out
4	there at BFI, they didn't have anybody that knew where to turn
5	the valve off to keep this thing from spilling. Which is
6	right here above that river (indicating).
7	Now, if you guys are monitoring them, I
8	think you need to take a very good look of what's going on out
9	there. These are just a few of the things you know, I have
10	some more questions I'd like to ask. I know I don't have but
11	a minute or two.
12	One point I want to make is, our original
13	Solid Waste Board, they never would have voted to expand it if
14	they would've known there was radioactive material being taken
15	in there. And I feel like you people ought to be ashamed of
16	yourselves for not telling them.
17	Now, special waste the definition of
18	special waste has changed over the years. Now it includes
19	nuclear waste, whether it's safe or not. I don't think so.
20	Why are all these other states outlawing it being dumped in
21	their state and we're getting dumped in it? I don't want
22	Tennessee to be a dumping site for the rest of this nation,
23	regardless of how safe it is.
24	Thank you.
25	MR. HIMES: John Dvincenzo. Does he want

1	to speak?
2	(Pause)
3	MR. HIMES: He's not here he is here.
4	Does he want to speak?
5	(Pause)
6	MR. HIMES: How about Kathy Sobotka?
7	MS. SOBOTKA: Pass.
8	MR. HIMES: Pass.
9	Michelle Smith? Michelle Smith?
10	(Pause)
11	MR. HIMES: Grant Kelley, if you would
12	come on down front, and Mr. Mike Mobley.
13	MS. SMITH: Hi. My name is
14	Michelle Smith. I've lived here since '98. I moved here to
15	raise my boys. It was a nice green place and had no idea
16	about the landfill. I thought it was a huge mountain that
17	people were building houses on it.
18	I didn't prepare anything, so bear with me
19	because I'm just going on a whim.
20	First of all, I would like to thank ENDIT
21	and all the citizens that came out tonight. I think it shows
22	a great part in our community. I really appreciate that.
23	In 2004 there was an expansion meeting,
24	and that went on quite some time. There was no advertising,
25	no mention in the paper, really, except for small ads about

that. I wish there would have been, then maybe more people
 would've been out here.

3 There wasn't -- the figures from 2004 --4 the ones that got passed, I guess, in 2005 -- increased dramatically in 2006, as far as the dumping of the 5 radioactivity. The solid -- according to what I've heard, 6 there in the special wastes, there's 140 different kinds or so 7 8 of special wastes: mercury; asbestos; recalled food products. 9 I guess you-all are hearing a little bit more about that since you're professionals. So it's a lot more than the 10 radioactivity that we have to worry about. 11 12 I guess that's really all I have to say. 13 I think that there should be more public 14 involvement. I think that when the public does ask questions, 15 our questions should be answered directly. I don't think that 16 it should be recorded and taken to a committee and then the 17 Committee is going to pull what they want to pull and discuss 18 it. It doesn't sound to me like you are taking into 19 consideration the different things between solid waste, 20 special waste, and hazard waste. I don't know. 21 If you're just going to pull this certain 22 area out, like solid waste is just a household product, and 23 you're not even going to pull out the radiations and just consider it a special waste, I don't -- that doesn't make 24 25 sense to me. So I would really like our questions in the

> Cannon & Stacy Court Reporters (615) 822-9382

future -- the public meetings -- to be addressed at that time. 1 2 That's all I've got to say. 3 MR. HIMES: Mr. Kelley? 4 MR. KELLEY: My name is Grant Kelley. 5 I've been a member of the Regional Solid Waste Advisory Board, which involves three counties. 6 Of course BFI is the landfill of choice, 7 8 because there's only one in the area. 9 I have a lot of things I could say, but I don't want any redundancy. But I have a few questions. 10 11 The main thing at this stage is that, we have had numerous meetings over numerous years in all the 12 counties for each of the individual cities involved. We have 13 14 all asked many, many times -- including the expansion -- the 15 time of the expansion -- are there any special wastes; are 16 there any hazardous wastes. We asked so many different ways, 17 and they said nothing but regular household wastes and 18 municipal wastes. Now, somebody's lying. Somebody lied. 19 As you know most recently an aide to our 20 President was convicted of lying to Congress and was, I think, 21 given 22 years, which was committed or reduced or whatever. 22 We can't do that. But the bottom line is, somebody needs to answer for these lies. And I think there should be an 23 investigation by the powers that be that these lies that have 24 25 been told on this -- they shouldn't just be gone away; there

should be some penalties. And one penalty would be close the 1 situation. And there needs to be enough penalties, not only 2 for here, but for other places. 3 4 So I think that would be my main interest at this point. It has been on TV. It is been on audiotapes. 5 It's been on all types of recordings. And they obviously lied 6 to our questions. And as a result of this, they were able to 7 8 get permits that they should not have. I think they ought to be revoked, not just suspended. 9 10 Thank you. 11 MR. HIMES: I would also like Jerri Hogan and Judith Farris to please come down and make comments. 12 13 MR. MOBLEY: Thank you. 14 I'm Mike Mobley. And I am radioactive. 15 And so are you. 16 I have about 40 years of professional 17 experience as a health physicists. I've got a background as a 18 physicists training in radiation biology. 19 I understand people's concern and all 20 for this landfill. I don't think anyone wants a landfill in their front yard, back yard, or next to their creek -- or 21 whatever. I live on a farm with a creek, and I would have 22 23 some difficulties with having a landfill next door. But I do have some concern about the people's concern for the 24 25 radioactivity here. And I have some questions, too, for the

1 Committee that I think need to be looked at.

2	I hope people can get an understanding
3	that the level of radioactivity that we are talking about here
4	is not anything that any of us would have any concern with.
5	As a radiation health physicists or whatever I can tell
6	you I don't have any concern with it. And I don't have any
7	concern with it for my children or my grandchildren. And
8	there are things that I would hope that you can understand
9	that will help you understand this.
10	One of the things that I would like to see
11	done by the Committee is to look using the same scenario
12	the State has used for the assessment of this material going
13	into to the landfill look at the phosphate tailings that
14	are down in the Middle Tennessee area. Use that same scenario
15	and see what the dose will be or what the risk will be. Look
16	at the oil pipe residuals that lay foreign in nearby states.
17	And let my comment on the comment that
18	state's don't allow radioactive material to go to landfills
19	everything is radioactive. So radioactive material is going
20	to landfills. Radioactive materials go to cemeteries. You
21	just can't get away from it. It's there. It's going to go
22	there.
23	The other thing I would like them to look
24	at is the radium disposed at the EPA approved limits. And
25	this is happening everywhere. Material contaminated with

1 radium, it's disposed of in landfills, it's left on sites, 2 et cetera -- at certain concentrations. Those concentrations 3 represent a risk that are significantly higher. In my 4 opinion, I believe that it will be shown that those are 5 significantly higher than the material we're talking about 6 here.

7 In fact, I would like to see an assessment 8 of just plain dirt. I think you will find that there is dirt, 9 particularly in Tennessee, that's more radioactive than the 10 material going in the landfill under this BSFR program.

And BSFR -- that's interesting because I, too, am not used to that terminology.

13 In particular, I would like to see an 14 assessment relative to Chattanooga shell. And many of you may 15 know we have outcrops of Chattanooga shell in Middle Tennessee 16 and East Tennessee. That material is significantly

17 radioactive.

Another way to get a feel for the risk 19 that you are looking at is to look at the risk from radon in 20 people's home at the level that EPA says is okay. Making 21 these different comparisons can give you some idea of what the 22 real situation is that we are dealing with, relative to the 23 BSFR material.

24 One of the things I also like everybody to 25 think about is, Tennessee has one of the best radiation

1 control programs in the country. They have been very active
2 in reducing medical and dental exposures, which is where -3 and my apology to Wayne Sharber, because he's heard this many
4 times. Medical and dental radiation is where real people get
5 real radiation. It's not hypothetical. It's not going to
6 happen in the future. It happens every day. Some of that is
7 unnecessary.

8 The Division of Health has worked to 9 reduce the unnecessary radiation. And in the 1990s -- I think 10 early 1990s -- dental exposures in Tennessee were 50 percent 11 of the national average of dental exposure. A fantastic 12 difference was made by the same division that's being hassled, 13 or harangued, relative to this problem.

There is two radiation problems that we really have to deal with in this country. One of them is the explosion of the use of radiation in medicine -- or issues, I should say -- and the fact that we now have a lot of high-dose procedures that people are being exposed to unnecessarily. And the other real radiation problem we

20 have is radon in home. Serious problem. It's not being 21 adequately addressed.

And finally, we have a problem with the perception of radiation being the ultimate hazard. It's not. It's just another hazard we have to deal with. It's a little different than some of the others, but we can deal with it.

And we do deal with it. 1 And finally, I would close on another 2 3 comment. I have to apologize to those that have heard this before. But I note that if you believe something is 4 radioactive, you're right. The real question is, is it a 5 problem? And many times things are radioactive, but they're 6 not -- well, not many times -- all the time things are 7 8 radioactive, but many times they're not a real problem. 9 Thank you. 10 And I would be happy -- I will just 11 volunteer to work with ENDIT, or any other groups, to answer questions relative to radiation issues. If they would like to 12 have somebody that will sit down with them and answer their 13 14 questions, I will be happy to do that. I am known for being very straightforward. I'll tell you the way it is, as I see 15 16 it. And I hope that's the way it is. 17 Thank you. 18 MR. HIMES: Is Jerri Hogan here? 19 (Pause) 20 MR. HIMES: Anita Tittsworth, please come 21 on up. 22 Alvin Breedlove? Are you here, 23 Mr. Breedlove? 24 MR. BREEDLOVE: Right here. 25 MR. HIMES: Do you wish to speak?

MS. TITTSWORTH: I'll be brief. 1 2 I admire the people that have come out 3 tonight. I have lived in Murfreesboro all my life. My 4 children are here. I am a grandmother of -- I have four 5 grandchildren. 6 I have listened to the teachers and the scientific people, and I admire them. I have respect for the 7 8 engineers and for our state officials. 9 But I also have concern because of the economic element that we're dealing with -- the concentrated 10 11 locale that we're dealing with. 12 And I think it has been brought out that there has been deceit. 13 14 And it's been brought out that we're 15 dependant on state regulation. We're dependant on federal 16 regulation. And we're dependant on the integrity of a company 17 BFI. And, quite frankly, I am skeptical of all of that. 18 I love Tennessee. I live in Tennessee. I 19 respect the officials. But I know that they're understaffed, 20 and that many times, in regulation, things have gone on just where they didn't have the people, the resources -- the human 21 resources to control or investigate. And I think that's truly 22 23 what's probably happening here. 24 I also know that because of economics that 25 there can be deceit and that we're one of the fastest growing

> Cannon & Stacy Court Reporters (615) 822-9382

1	counties in Tennessee, if not the top ten, perhaps, in the
2	nation. And at that rate the Tennessee teacher over here
3	(indicating), I respect him. He was talking about he was
4	concerned about his great grandchildren. With our growth
5	rate and we're talking about medical waste coming in from
6	Davidson County. Think about it, people. That medical field
7	is growing. You've got Vanderbilt, Saint Thomas they're
8	not getting smaller. Okay?
9	So all of this coming in here, I think
10	concentrated he talks about being concerned in 100 years.
11	We're doubling the growth. Huh-huh. I'm concerned in
12	50 years. And that does affect my grandchildren. It affects
13	my children.
14	So, people, it is integrity and honesty.
15	And I think BFI has deceived us. I think they're bringing in
16	twice as much. I think we have seen that they're not
17	monitored. And, quite frankly, the state and federal I
18	think a good example of federal regulation is Walter Reed
19	Hospital and our own VA out here. They can't regulate that.
20	Do you really think they're going to be out here regulating a
21	little spot called Walter Hill at our water source?
22	And why in the name of the U.S.A. of
23	America did they put that at our water source?
24	I understand and I respect Ms. Sanders.
25	I got my immunization shot at the Department of Health. I've

1 lived here just all my life, but I am concerned.

2	Folks, I think there's been deceit here.
3	I want to ask the Advisory Counsel
4	Committee to take that into consideration that it is at our
5	water source. That we're a university here. And that if
6	other cites need to be developed, then let's start looking at
7	that and have a more aggressive restriction, regulations, or
8	whatever. Perhaps not at the nuclear realm or level, but so
9	much more so than just a Class I landfill. It was built for
10	just household wastes for garbage, not for the nuclear not
11	for the radioactive.
12	Folks, I am concerned. And I hope that
13	this Advisory Counsel considers the location, Rutherford
14	County, the university, the adjacent Davidson County with the
15	medical facilities that are growing. I hope they consider
16	that, and I hope this moratorium stays, please.
17	Thank you.
18	MR. BREEDLOVE: I am Albert Breedlove, and
19	I'm from Walter Hill. I'm concerned about my grandchildren
20	and their children. The runoff of the landfill the buffer
21	is right there by the river. They're digging in it every day.
22	The rocks. Everything. From the medical waste to the nuclear
23	radioactive waste. Everything. From the trucks that drive so
24	fast up and down Jefferson Pike.
25	And we were lied to. There is no doubt at

Cannon & Stacy Court Reporters (615) 822-9382

the Walter Hill meeting we were lied to. None of this come 1 out. Nothing was circulated. They got their permit. They've 2 got what they want. It's time for us to get what we want. 3 4 And that's all I have to say. 5 MR. HIMES: Thank you. Mr. William Webb; Gwen Hallquest; 6 Mr. John D. Wiseman. 7 8 MR. WEBB: I am going to tell everyone to be careful of the maps. They have a tendency to -- it's 9 chemical waste. 10 11 My name is Bill Webb. I have lived in Murfreesboro with my wife and four children for -- since 1958. 12 I have seen the city grow -- we all have -- into a wonderful 13 14 place, except for one thing; and that is, we have a source of 15 water that I am very much concerned about. And it's going to 16 damage our citizens, and to me that's the most important 17 thing. The economic aspect of it, as far as I am concerned, 18 should not be considered when you're talking about human 19 lives. 20 What I am going to say -- there is a lot of people here that know a lot more about nuclear waste than I 21 do and have brought out a lot of good points. 22 23 I think Mr. Peay over here (indicating) has brought out the fact that we do have problems here with 24 25 the landfill that we have. The State can say that they

monitor it. But the fact is, there are still instances where
 things go on that should not go be going on and where it's not
 being monitored to the extent that they have no problems
 there.

5 I think that what I would like to kind of 6 use for my talk here is common sense. And I want to tell you 7 that each one of you out there has common sense. And don't 8 ever let someone else direct your thoughts just because they 9 seem to know all the answers to all the questions. You have 10 common sense. Common sense tells you that you do not put a 11 landfill right by a water supply where it is brought out of.

The radioactivity that we are talking about out here now has a tendency, over a period of years, of one-time sampling. You may get a low reading on it, but the concentration that we are going to have at the landfill out here is going to concentrate where you are going to have a much higher reading than what we have right now.

I think that we have got to look into the future for 20 years, 30 years, or 50 years. Because it is going to be here. You are not going to do away with it just as soon as they decide that they don't have anymore use for it. It's going to be here. So we are going to have to take that into consideration.

24 The other thing that I would like to talk 25 about is, you know, we do not know what is going into the

landfill. You know, everything probably is going in from
 everywhere.

3 What we've got to consider is not only the 4 liner that's in it, but we have to consider what's known as gravity and has been around for a long time. And when they 5 come in and dump all this stuff in -- porta-potties and 6 whatever in it -- and it's pouring downhill, it's going to run 7 8 down the hill and it's going to get in the river. That's common sense. You don't have to have a bunch of figures and 9 everything to come up with that. 10 11 So the final thing that I want to say is, 12 can we do anything about it? And the answer is yes. But it has to be gone about the right way in order to do it. 13 14 I have been convinced tonight in talking 15 to some of the TDEC people that they are limited as to what 16 they can do by statute. And the people that can change it are 17 our representatives, of which we have quite a few here 18 tonight. And we need the support of the County. We need the 19 support of the City. And we need the support of our House of 20 Representatives and the Senate. 21 But they can do something about it. And 22 you can let them know that we are interested in having this situation remedied. And if we will do that, we can make a 23 24 difference.

25

I had a gentleman out here, in closing,

1 that has told me, at a previous meeting, that a friend of his is a truck driver that goes around to different states and 2 collects materials to bring it to our landfill -- our dump 3 here. And he says that he tells him that the biggest --4 5 practically all of what he brings in is body parts. 6 Now, I know this has been mentioned before, but there is all kinds of stuff that's being brought 7 8 in here. And to think that we've got all these body parts, 9 hospital wastes -- we've got all the nuclear wastes going in this dump and that we are drawing our drinking water right out 10 11 of the river right by the dump -- so I would like to invite all of you people to go outside right up here, I believe there 12 13 is a drinking fountain around the corner -- and particularly 14 the gentleman from Nashville -- and have a nice big drink. 15 MS. HALLQUEST: I am not going to take a 16 drink. 17 Let me introduce myself. My name is 18 Gwen Hallquest. I'm obviously with ENDIT. I am also an 19 environmental chemist. I have been in the field for 14 years. 20 AUDIENCE MEMBER: Can't hear you. AUDIENCE MEMBER: Louder. 21 22 MS. HALLQUEST: I said I'm an 23 environmental chemist. I've been in the field for 14 years. 24 My first thing is, I would like to thank 25 Mr. Freeman -- I believe that his name is Freeman -- with TDEC

1 because he admitted to me that the news program showing him
2 scanning the radioactive waste at the landfill was a complete
3 incorrect representation of how you scan for radioactive
4 wastes. You must be within inches of the contaminated
5 material, not feet. And if you remember seeing it, he was
6 holding it at waist height.

7 Secondly, I would like to talk about the 8 radioactivity. Radioactivity has been detected in the leachate from the landfill. The leachate is being dumped into 9 our treatment facility -- our wastewater treatment facility. 10 11 Therefore, the leachate is not being treated as wastewater -is being treated as wastewater, not radioactive wastewater. 12 13 Our wastewater facility does not even have the capability to 14 test the wastewater for radioactivity. It must be sent out to 15 a different laboratory.

Next, the radioactivity is not being monitored at the landfill. They are not going around with scanners monitoring at the landfill. The processor's word is being taken as true. How can we accept the processor's word when money is involved?

Finally, the Safe Water Drinking Act states the maximum contaminate levels are 4 milligrams per year. Granted, TDEC is allowing only 1 milligram per year. However, the MCL's goals, as stated in the Safe Water Drinking Act, are zero. Why are we moving away from the federal goals

instead of towards them? 1 On a final note, in 20 years, what are we 2 3 going to look back and say? Are we going to regret the 4 dumping of radioactivity in our backyard? It wasn't too long ago that, well, x-rays were cool. They were in every shoe 5 store. Radon contaminated water, quote/unquote, cured 6 arthritis. And the dial painters lick their paintbrush tips 7 8 to be able to paint a finer tip on those watches. I'm sure 9 that these people regret what they did. 10 The point is, we don't truly know how much 11 is too much, so why are we so willing to take a great risk and possibly destroy our environment, our children's environment, 12 and our livelihood in the name of money? 13 14 If we cannot say with 100-percent 15 certainty that this will not cause harm to our family and our 16 neighbors, then we have no right to take this chance with a 17 quarter of a million people, and the millions more to come in 18 Rutherford County. 19 Personally, I would be more than willing 20 to pay to have my garbage disposed of if -- if it meant I would not have to deal with radioactive waste. 21 22 MR. HIMES: I would like to call 23 Mr. Gene Osekowsky, Mr. John Dixon, and Mr. Paul Diamond. If they will come on down and speak, please. 24 25 MR. WISEMAN: My name is John D. Wiseman,

1 as announced.

2	First off, I want to reiterate one thing
3	that's been said here tonight. It's significant that this
4	program dumping radioactive waste in Tennessee has gone on for
5	years, and I don't think any of us were aware of that fact.
6	One of the hallmarks of democracy is an informed citizen. So
7	that represents a massive failure on the part of the DOE at
8	the federal level, on the state level, and even at the local
9	level.
10	I would like to just do one thing,
11	briefly. I appreciate it very much, the three gentlemen I
12	talked to out at the tables in the hall, I am much better
13	informed right now about the problem. And so I think that the
14	next question is, can I give my consent? I don't think I am
15	ready to do that. I would like to use just one simple
16	illustration.
17	Some of you recognize this booklet
18	(indicating). On page 57, there is a quotation from an
19	expert, Dr. G. Fred Lee, who is an environmental engineer.
20	And he has a Ph.D., no less, from Harvard University.
21	Dr. Lee says, "There is no reliable way to
22	properly predict when high density polyethylene liners are
23	going to fail. They are going to fail. There is no question
24	about they will fail. The issue about that is when. And that
25	is unknown."

I personally think that there are too 1 2 many unknowns in this complex issue right now to get my consent. So when the liner at Middle Point Landfill does 3 4 begin to leak -- and it's not known when it will -- we will begin to have massive problems in dealing with radioactive 5 wastes in our drinking water. And maybe even too big for us 6 7 to handle. 8 So right now, I say end it. 9 MR. OSEKOWSKY: Hello. My name is Michael Moore, and I am doing business as Gene Osekowsky. 10 11 Oh, I didn't know it was going to happen. Nobody told me. Where did it come from? I didn't know that 12 13 was there. 14 Who am I talking about? The Nancy Allens 15 and our representatives that are supposed to protect the life 16 and the welfare of the citizens. 17 The problem isn't today. The problem was 18 20 years ago when the citizens were sold on the river for 19 \$1.80 a ton of hazardous wastes. Why? So we could get 20 Rutherford County to -- or the City of Murfreesboro to have a free garbage dump. And for that, we give up the lives of the 21 22 citizens. They can put the citizens in the dump. 23 They pay more attention to plumbing in a restaurant to make sure that there's not hazardous gas coming 24 25 up in the kitchen than they do to the drinking water that's in

> Cannon & Stacy Court Reporters (615) 822-9382

1 the same spot -- the same sink. And as a small business
2 person, you know, what do you do?

3 We talk about Rutherford County being the 4 top county -- one of the top ten counties. Hey, sell your property. For sale by owner. For sale by agent. Guess 5 what's going to be on it? On your table in the living room or 6 in the kitchen, you have to have a disclosure agreement. And 7 8 guess what it has to have in it? Your water that you're buying in this house could be contaminated. And if you don't 9 disclose that, and they found out about it later on, you get 10 11 your house back and you -- they get their money. So there's a lot of ramifications to this. 12 What I would like to know is, who in the 13 14 heck signed off on this contract in the first place? It says 15 for a contract to be legal and forcible it says that all 16 parties involved have to be over 18 -- I think they are 17 talking about physical age, not necessarily mental age and 18 capable of making informed decisions. 19 Now, if BFI mafia -- oh, I didn't mean 20 that. But in some other states if you want to find out what 21 BFI is all about, that's another acronym that they go by. 22 If you're going to make decisions about 23 the dump and where you're going to put the material, you have to know what did the contract call for, what did they put in 24 25 it. And if they did something other than what's in the

contract, the contract is null and void. And, my god, all our 1 representatives have -- they have Ph.D.s and they have valid 2 CIOs and all kinds of legal. They ought to dig through that. 3 4 Our problem is not only today, it's a 5 problem that generated back about 20 years ago, as I understand it. And it has to do with what BFI -- mafia -- has 6 told the people; the Commission; the Nancy Allens. She said, 7 8 "I didn't know that happened. If I would've known that was going to happen, I wouldn't have signed it." 9 10 I always tell my people, if you can't read 11 the ingredient on it, don't eat it. If you don't understand 12 the words of the contract, you don't sign it. You know, it's 13 just common sense. So it's incumbent upon us to -- with 14 elections coming -- turn the heat up and get some decisions 15 done by our legislatures. 16 I talked to Jim Tracy, and he says a bill 17 is going in. Well, we all know what happens to bills. They 18 always attach, you know, you shall not have Mamu the Whale in 19 the Stones River, so it doesn't get through. 20 Well, you need to get with your 21 legislators and let them know that you want to enforce and 22 that you want to put some pressure on our government at the 23 city, the county, and the state level to keep our water clean and our air clean. Otherwise, we'll end up with another 24 25 Brockovich. And I know that sounds like, oh, hoo-hoo, but

1 it's not that far away. It's not that far away.

2 MR. HIMES: Is Paul Diamond here, please? 3 (Pause) 4 MR. HIMES: Okay. Ms. Lelia Morton and 5 Natasha Jones. If you-all are here, will you please come down and get ready? Thank you. 6 7 MR. DIXON: Good evening. I am 8 John Dixon. My family moved to Murfreesboro in 1940 when I was three weeks old. I practiced pediatrics here for about 9 30 years, so, as you might imagine, my concerns are health 10 11 related. 12 I've got children that I know and care 13 about that are starting preschool that are drinking from the 14 water fountains in the elementary schools and the middle 15 schools and the high schools. And I've got people that I know 16 and care about that are finishing college and starting a new 17 family in Murfreesboro. There is the health -- I am 67 year 18 olds; you can only do so much damage to me, but the future 19 generations of Rutherford County and their health is extremely 20 important to all of us. 21 As a physician, I am surprised that the 22 State of Tennessee would allow a landfill to be built by a natural water supply. I think if you ask the average fifth 23 graders in Rutherford County -- we've got a lot of sharp fifth 24 25 graders. Do you put your landfill by your water supply?

> Cannon & Stacy Court Reporters (615) 822-9382

1 Every one of them would say no. I am amazed that the great state of Tennessee doesn't have higher standards than that. 2 3 The decision that was made 20 years ago 4 was seriously misjudged -- the decision that put the landfill by the water supply. To extend that landfill and expand it 5 and extend that mistake for another 50 years is unconscionable 6 to me. We should stop it now. 7 8 MS. JONES: Hello. My name is 9 Natasha Jones. I am here representing myself and my family. I appreciate you-all taking out the time to listen to what I 10 11 have to say. 12 Yes, I agree with everybody, the decision 13 20 years ago was a very bad one, a big lapse in judgment. But 14 that was 20 years ago. The one several years ago to expand 15 was several years ago. We can't, unfortunately, do anything 16 about that now. 17 We can do something -- and I'm hoping that 18 the people that are in charge of this -- the Committee --19 realize that the power is in their hands. And it's in our 20 hands to do something about it from here on out. We are, unfortunately, left to clean up -- as it were -- the problem 21 of this landfill and what it's done to the citizens of Walter 22 23 Hill and the surrounding area thus far. 24 You know, I may not be an expert. I have 25 heard many experts up here saying different things. I can

> Cannon & Stacy Court Reporters (615) 822-9382

appreciate and respect both sides of the story. But, frankly, 1 I don't care what an expert you are, how much you studied 2 something -- guys, nobody has all the answers, and nobody can 3 4 tell exactly what is going to happen in any given situation. 5 I don't care how long you have researched it, what all you've done, you -- like the one gentleman 6 7 said -- you cannot predict, you know, all the different 8 variances and things that are going to happen. And I just think it's too risky, personally, to try to put our trust in, 9 well, we've studied it this long, we know these facts --10 11 quote/unquote -- we don't think that there is any -- there is a risk, but it's so small -- so minute that it's not going to 12 13 affect anybody. 14 Back in the 1960s the Government 15 administered a drug known as DES to woman. It was supposed to 16 promote healthier babies, help woman that were -- had a 17 previous history of miscarriage. Everything was perfectly 18 healthy, you know, supposed to help them continue on with the 19 pregnancy, and they wouldn't lose their babies. 20 Well, as a personal -- I have a personal 21 invested interest in that. My grandmother was administered 22 DES. What it did -- and they didn't know it at the time, and 23 they couldn't tell it at the time. They only could hypothesize about it -- that it was going to do this. It 24 actually changed the genetic structure, the DNA, second and 25

third generations, particularly -- even though it did affect
 the first generation after that -- the reproductive organs.
 And I actually had a son who died from a rare fetal anomaly
 according to that.

5 Now, we can say, you know, well, we've got all these statistics and this isn't likely to happen. You've 6 got that one in 12,000 risks of becoming -- you know, guess 7 8 what? Those risks are out there, because it does happen. 9 That's how these new chapters to the medical books and all these things are made, is because these things do happen, 10 11 however unlikely that they are. And then when they happen, what are you going to do? Well, it's not researched enough. 12 13 Oh, and now we have this big mess.

14 You know, like the one lady said, well, 15 you know, if we had only known. Or we just didn't think it 16 was going to happen so, you know, we regret -- now we regret 17 our decision.

18 I would like to read a couple of little 19 things. You know, all the people that have come here to 20 answer the questions -- and I do believe that they are answering them to the best of their ability -- but there 21 again, they're only told so much. They are just doing their 22 23 job. There are many questions that I have that, frankly, people could not answer for me. You know, the one man said, 24 25 "I'll answer as many questions as I can." You know, you can't

1 always answer all of the questions.

2	Low-level radioactive waste is poorly
3	defined under federal law. Just because it's low-level does
4	not mean that it is low risk. They have the high level that
5	comes from the plants and stuff like that, and everything else
6	is classified into the low-level radioactive wastes.
7	You know, it seems like people are
8	uneducated on the proper safety procedures to deal with this.
9	They're shoddy or old workmanship of instruction on this.
10	Eventually it's going to give out. Nothing is permanent.
11	People are ill-equipped or the facility is ill-equipped to
12	handle and contain the materials, and it is being poorly
13	monitored.
14	So, you know, I have two children here. I
15	moved to Rutherford County two years ago. Had no idea about
16	all of this. I moved from East Tennessee. We have a facility
17	at Irwin that is nuclear full services. We have Eastman Kodak
18	in Kingsport. We have a paper plant and chemical plant. You
19	know, I thought I was doing myself a favor, and my family a
20	favor, by moving down here. I am asking the Committee, please
21	don't prove me wrong.
22	MR. HIMES: Thank you.
23	Mr. Trey Parsley; Mr. Daniel Walun;
24	Mr. Art Palmer; Mr. Harold Bell.
25	MR. PALMER: Good evening. My name is

1 Art Palmer. I am with Certified Health Physicists, which is radiation protection professional. I live here in Tennessee, 2 over near Knoxville. And I have been safely managing 3 4 radioactive materials for over 30 years. 5 I have personally decommissioned dozens of research for commercial and industrial facilities throughout 6 the United States. I have also been involved in the 7 8 operations of radioactive waste disposal facilities, including 9 environmental monitoring programs in Nevada, Washington, Illinois, and Utah. 10 11 As a health physicist my number 1 concern is always health and safety of the workers, the public, and 12 13 the environment, and I am here this evening to speak on behalf 14 of myself as an interested and concerned citizen. 15 I am very familiar with the BSFR process, 16 and I support the manner in which it has been implemented in 17 Tennessee. The TDEC has been diligent in review, vigilant in 18 inspection and oversight of this process. It is important to 19 understand that essentially that all materials are 20 radioactive, including the human body. It simply is. 21 Radioactivity is universal in the environment. 22 The BSF process is one in which materials are screened to ensure that any radioactivity that is present 23 is at a level that's of no health and safety consequence. The 24 25 point is to separate that which presents problem from that

> Cannon & Stacy Court Reporters (615) 822-9382

1 which isn't a problem. It's universal. You have to sort the
2 two out.

This capability is essential when you're trying to decommission a facility. It's absolutely necessary that waste which poses a threat to human health and safety be disposed of in a low-level waste radioactive landfill. That's where it ought to go.

8 This space should be reserved. That 9 space -- low-level waste disposal space in the United States 10 is limited. There is a finite amount of it. There's only 11 three disposal sites. This space should be reserved for 12 material which requires isolation. Material which has passed 13 the BSFR process doesn't belong in a low-level waste disposal 14 facility. It's a waste of that space.

Furthermore, due to unattended for consequences of the 1980's federal legislation, one company in the United States, Energy Solutions, controls virtually all of this disposal space. That's the low-level waste disposal space. They have monopoly power, and they charge much, much more than a reasonable rate for waste disposal. Now, this price gouging goes directly to

the cost of consumer products, including -- among other -your pharmaceuticals. Okay. All that pharmaceutical research that's done with radioactive material -- okay -- that waste that requires going to a low-level waste facility is very

1 expensive to dispose of.

2 The BSFR process is a technically correct and proper method of monitoring waste materials to ensure they 3 4 don't contain unsafe levels of radioactivity. Remember, everything's radioactive. 5 6 When I knock down a building, I have to 7 sort the building pieces in to two piles. Those piles that 8 have dangerous levels of radioactivity and those piles that 9 don't. And what I do with the pile that doesn't is, I send it for BSFR, where it is again checked before it's released to 10 11 the landfill for disposal. The material is no different than the rest of the construction debris in the disposal cell. 12 13 Anyway, this capability is a clear and 14 substantial benefit to the citizens of Tennessee. I have 15 personally arranged for shipments of waste for out-of-state 16 licensed facilities under the BSFR program for two reasons. 17 First, the BSFR program provides the 18 customer with documentation that assures the material posses 19 no risk and it's properly disposed of. There is a paper trail 20 for each piece of material that goes through this process. 21 Secondly, even though other states might 22 approve landfill disposal of this material at significantly 23 higher levels than the BSFR process, the expense and delay of 24 project-specific approval process makes the pre-approved BSFR 25 program attractive. Okay.

1 Basically, what's been set up is a system, or a process, to perform this action that needs to be done to 2 facilitate a segregation of radioactive and -- essentially --3 4 non-radioactive materials. 5 Anyway, I appreciate the time. Thank you very much. 6 7 MR. HIMES: Is Trey Parsley or 8 Daniel Walun not here? 9 (Pause) 10 MR. HIMES: They have already left. 11 How about Mark McHugh and Sammy Jones? If you are here, please come on down and have a seat. You will 12 13 be up next. 14 Thank you. 15 MR. BELL: Good evening. My name is Harold Bell, and I am from Dickson County. I'm the president 16 17 of the Dickson County branch of the NAACP. 18 Of all the presenters that I've heard here 19 this evening, I thought I was in Dickson County, because the 20 same metamorphosis that you are going through now, we have been going through for the last few years. 21 22 The official there told us the same thing. 23 They said that we have booted that landfill, and we have it 24 contained. But we found out later, with research, that they 25 put the boot in the landfill, but they did not have it

> Cannon & Stacy Court Reporters (615) 822-9382

contained. Since then it has permeated from three miles of
 the surrounding area of that landfill.

3 And we have autopsies that show that it is 4 detrimental to your health. The acronym ENDIT is a good acronym. You need to end it as quick as you can and as fast 5 as you can because it is detrimental. 6 7 There in Dickson County the official -- we 8 come -- we have a revenue with us tonight to come just in 9 support of what you are going through because we have been going through it for years. We still haven't gotten no 10 11 application of the situation in our county. So I am telling you to hold on and fight on and keep on that one day that --12 we don't want this in Dickson County. We don't want it in 13 14 Rutherford County. We don't want it in Tennessee. 15 MR. McHUGH: Howdy. I am Mark McHugh, and 16 I performed the analyses and prepared all the licensing 17 documentation for all the material that's gone into the Middle 18 Point Landfill for the last ten years. So I am personally 19 responsible. 20 I thought a lot about what to say here tonight and a few minutes isn't much time. 21 22 Before the first story aired on this 23 process, I spent an hour and a half talking to Ms. Kalodimos giving her the complete history, regulatory basis, and 24

25 technical details of this process. I answered all the

1 questions that you-all are saying weren't answered.

2 Unfortunately, of that she used about a six-second sound bite 3 that Tennessee was making profit off of it. I am not going to 4 blame her for that. She's an excellent television journalist, 5 but sometimes the facts are a little too boring for television 6 news.

7 I came here tonight to answer any and all 8 questions that each of you might have regarding this process. 9 If you come to me after this meeting, I will stay here until 10 the last of your questions are answered. There is no 11 proprietary. I will answer every one your questions that I 12 haven't answered.

Now, you might think that I am here to feed you the information that fits my own agenda, but maybe that's all you have been getting from all the other people involved in this process so far. If you really care about this, then you owe it to yourself to hear all the facts on both sides of the story. Before I go I will go ahead and throw out a few facts for you to think about.

20 Some would have you believe that Tennessee 21 is backward and out of control regarding this process, but 22 that's not the case. Just last year representatives of the 23 Japanese government, who are about to implement a similar 24 program for standards established by the International Atomic 25 Agency, came to observe our process so that they could go over

the process details before implementing it in Japan. They saw
 value in it.

3 Some would have you believe that we tried 4 to hide this from you, but the assessment and the associated 5 license materials for this process were not submitted in a way 6 to hide it from public information.

7 Now, normally we would call this stuff 8 business sensitive. The way that the rad waste processing 9 business works, we would submit that as business sensitive and 10 try to hide it from our competitors, not necessarily from you, 11 but from our competitors. Because they could look at it and 12 say, hey, we could do that too.

But we felt so strongly about this particular process that we submitted it open for public review. And each and every one of you can go to Nashville right now and make a copy of it for a nickel a page and bring to back and show it to your friends.

Have any of you actually read it? Do you
care? I mean, about the technical details regarding it?
Maybe not. But it's there.

And be honest, if you don't want the big thick report from RESRAD, if you just want the overview, I'll e-mail that to you after this meeting is over, if you want to come up and give me your e-mail address -- if you want to read about it and see exactly what this process involves. I

understand you-all don't like your landfill, but that doesn't 1 necessarily have to be expanded -- this process being bad. 2 3 Now some facts. Any of you-all farm or 4 garden? Anybody? Well, the last number in 10-10-10, or Urea 46 Fertilizer, is the percent of potassium that's in that. 5 All right. K20 potash. Now, that's naturally radioactive; so 6 much so, that a truckload of 10-10-10 fertilizer would not 7 8 pass our process. 9 Now, think about that. A truckload of 10-10-10 fertilizer would fail our BSFR process. That's how 10 11 little radioactivity we allow in our material. And an Urea 46 truck of fertilizer, that's potash, would fail by a factor of 12 six. It couldn't make it out our gate because it would have 13 14 too much radioactivity in it. 15 Now, how many of you-all have put 10-10-10 16 fertilizer on your yard or your crops or your garden? 17 Maybe you think there is a difference 18 between that and -- between natural occurring radioactivity 19 and manmade. Well, it isn't. Physics is the same. 20 For some of you, smoke detectors in your 21 house use americium-241. There's about two microcures of americium-241 in that smoke detector. Now, that's the same 22 amount -- you don't necessarily know what that means -- but 23 that's the same amount of activity that would flunk a truck, a 24 25 full 18-wheeler semi-truck of our material. Right? So that's

> Cannon & Stacy Court Reporters (615) 822-9382

how much radioactivity that's allowed to be in a semi-load of
 our materials. The same amount that's in a smoke detector in
 your house.

Now, don't worry, NRC did a study that 4 5 said you-all can throw away your smoke detectors without having to send them to a rad waste landfill. But it's the 6 same kind of study we did for this. They just said that's not 7 8 going to give anybody an appreciable dose. So when you-all have to throw out your smoke detectors, just be glad they 9 don't have to go through the BSFR process, because they'd 10 11 fail. Once again, I will be here after the 12 meeting to answer any questions that you-all might have. 13 14 Please take advantage of this opportunity, if you want your 15 questions answered. 16 And, once again, thanks for your time. 17 MR. HIMES: I would also like to ask 18 Mary Wright, Sharon Force, and John Vanderharst to please come 19 down and get prepared to speak. 20 Thank you. MR. JONES: Good evening. I am 21 22 Sammy Jones. I worked for a company called Impact Services, 23 in Oak Ridge, Tennessee. 24 I am one of the companies that impacts one 25 of the licensees which processes this BSFR material for

disposal in the state. We're governed by the Tennessee - TDEC regulations. I think most of you are pretty familiar
 with it. And many of you have talked to TDEC tonight and on
 other occasions.

5 We are one of several firms in the state 6 that performs this function. Our business includes a lot of 7 radioactive material processing. Most of our business is 8 material much different than BSFR. It actually constitutes a 9 very small portion of our business.

10 Impact takes a lot of pride in what we do. 11 We've operated within all the confines of all the state and 12 federal regulations. We file a lot of reports. There is a 13 lot of oversight in what we do every day. The State audits us 14 regularly. We are audited by federal/commercial clients and 15 other entities throughout the government.

16 Our company is not very old. We've been 17 doing this about three or four years. But our management team 18 has more than 40 years of experience in waste management.

Our quality programs meet strict
requirements according to national and international
standards. And, again, these are part of these audit
processes that we undergo on a regular basis. We take a lot
of pride in doing what we do safely and responsibly. Our
safety includes our employees, our clients, and the materials
and the landfills where these materials are eventually

1 disposed.

2	This BSFR program has been brought up
3	and some of the other guys have talked about it is a model
4	for other states even for other countries, which have
5	studied it in their own jurisdictions, provides extremely
6	conservative, protective, highly regulated method for
7	disposing material for licensed facilities that poses
8	virtually no risk and should not be taking up the space in the
9	landfills free for radioactive waste.
10	One point that I didn't have written here
11	that I think needs to be made, the State of Tennessee, in
12	developing these regulations, developed a regulation that was
13	five times more conservative than the federal regulation for
14	this material. Had the State of Tennessee not developed this
15	regulation, any licensed facility can petition the NRC to
16	dispose of material at five times this level.
17	That's done on a regular basis and does
18	occur all over the country.
19	The State of Tennessee took a step that
20	said we're going to be more conservative, and we're going to
21	regulate it at the state level to protect the citizens of our
22	state.
23	So what they did if the State gets rid
24	of the BSFR regulations, BSFR will not go away. The licensees
25	will then petition NRC and dispose of it in these similar

landfills. So what you -- one of the things you need to be --1 to stop that, you're going to have to change the federal 2 regulations. So the State of Tennessee, bottom line, is five 3 4 times more conservative than the federal regulations. 5 The last thing I would like to say is that we, and the other processors, are Tennesseans. I've grown up 6 here. I've lived here all my life. I care about this state. 7 8 And I care about the environment. I raise my kids here. I live close to one of these landfills in East Tennessee. I 9 drink the water from the lakes. I drink the water from the 10 11 Clinch River. It runs right by Oak Ridge National Laboratory. 12 And I think most of you know what's been done there over the 13 last few years. 14 We have continued to take the 15 responsibilities to ensure what we're doing is environmentally 16 sound. And the best interest of ours is the future 17 generations. 18 Thank you. 19 MS. WRIGHT: Good evening, everyone. My 20 name is Mary Wright. I am a retired nurse, and I live in 21 Dickson, Tennessee. 22 I, like one of the other women that were here, have traveled with my husband in the military all over. 23 And I came back to where I was born, to live, and didn't 24 25 realize that the places I left might have been safer than

across from the landfill where I live. I live within 500
 miles. I am here to support you and to elicit your help, and
 you can elicit our help.

We formed an organization called Tennessee Coalition for Environmental Justice. And we have been fighting for a long time concerning the landfill in Dickson County. We have problems, and it is the same thing. We have been before TDEC, and everyone, numerous times, and the commissioners in our community.

We do not get true facts. They say it's true facts, but we do not get true facts. And when we fight it, they will use excuses. In fact, we want information now, and it said, "We cannot give you information because we're in litigation." I don't have a lawsuit.

So the questions I'm asking, and when I do ask, is, what is the problem and what can we do about it? I know the problem, but what can we do about it? And they said they can't answer it. If these questions are not under litigation, you should be able to answer them.

20 You stick to your ground. Do not be 21 fooled and gloomed with -- because you will be. There is a 22 lot at risk for a lot of people that have invested in some of 23 these situations.

I live where trucks pass my house with I liquid and stinky odorous things. And the woman talked about

1 animals. There are animals. They are there. They -- we
2 smell it. And it stinks so bad. We have -- I have taken
3 pictures of trucks coming in from Illinois, from North
4 Carolina, from New York, from everywhere, with wastes that
5 they are bringing into these areas. These landfills are
6 dangerous.

7 And they are talking about radiation. I 8 have had radiation. Let me tell you about radioactive 9 material. When I was going under treatment, they had a too 10 high a level that was used on me, and I was burned more than I 11 should have been. Okay? And they had to reduce that level in 12 order to be effective. Don't tell me it won't hurt you. Yes, 13 it will. And they don't know how much and when.

As I nurse, I worked in a lot of areas. And we had to cover up for a lot of areas. We had -- and a lot of nurses died because of overexposure. So we don't know how much of anything -- how much of this material -- how much does it take to affect you?

19So what I would like to say to TDEC20and BFI, to the best of your knowledge -- concerning the21dumping -- has any radioactive material been exposed at the22troubled and contaminated landfill in Dickson County on23Eno Road? How can a citizen get information? How truthful24will the report be? Can we rely on this accuracy?25And you do the same. Please send any

information to wright2151 -- W-R-I-G-H-T -- at bellsouth.net. 1 And I will send any information that you can get from me and 2 maybe we can all help each other. 3 4 Thank you very much. 5 MR. HIMES: Is David Hall, Edward Pitts, and John Rucker here? If you all want to come down to declare 6 7 your comments. 8 MR. VANDERHARST: I have no idea how these 9 microphones are going to be able to pick me up here. 10 There is going to be a theme to my talk. I have a little prop here, and by the time I get done with it 11 you'll understand why I am using it. 12 13 My plea to the Solid Waste Advisory 14 Committee is to -- do not be afraid to be broad in your 15 recommendations and to make recommendations that you think are 16 right for the people of Tennessee, whether or not you think 17 that the legislative people -- regressive as they tend to be 18 so many times -- will implement them. 19 You know, regardless of how you feel about 20 the war in Iraq -- I personally was against it from the very beginning. And I lost that battle with the political leaders 21 of this country. They went to war. It was stupid. And we've 22 23 wasted now 600 billion plus. It's ongoing and no end in 24 sight. 25 Yes, I lost. But I feel good that I

1 tried. Because I still believe that I was right, and I would 2 rather have said what I thought was true, and lost, than 3 stayed home because I thought that nobody would listen. And 4 so to the members of the Municipal Solid Waste Advisory 5 Committee, I would ask them to say what is right for the 6 people of Tennessee on this issue.

7 A lot of this waste -- the nuclear waste 8 comes from facilities related to nuclear power. We should be getting rid of that. And let me give you an example why. 9 10 Generating capacity from wind power 11 currently is 20 times what it was in 1999. It's nearing 1 percent of our national generating capacity in just eight 12 13 years. If it were to multiply by 20 times again, over the 14 next eight years, that would be 20 percent of our national 15 generating capacity. That's what nuclear power produces. So 16 the possibility exists of getting rid of all of the -- of that 17 type of low-level nuclear waste that results from construction 18 related to that.

19The costs for doing that is currently20estimated to \$500 billion to get to 20 percent of our energy21from wind. \$500 billion is less than we've spent -- what22we've spent in Iraq, so far. So we could afford to do that.23So I am just trying to give a picture of24the possibilities out there.25You know, life spans have doubled over the

1 last century and a half. And they've done that because
2 business as usual a century and a half ago was not deemed good
3 enough. We have made changes on a lot of different fronts.
4 And I think that those of us who generally will live a longer
5 life are probably glad for all of the changes that were made
6 through all of the years by all the different parties that
7 brought that about.

8 I am with Recycling Advocates of Middle Tennessee. I have been plugging away for years on this issue. 9 10 Construction and demolition debris -- I've seen 94-percent recovery from recycling. We should be 11 recycling this material, not "landfilling" it. Gradually 12 13 states are enacting bans on different materials from the 14 landfill. Anything that's recyclable, be it food wastes, be 15 it all metals, plastics, glass, paper, and everything, should 16 be recycled. It should be banned from landfills, frankly. 17 If the Advisory Committee would make that 18 recommendation -- yes, the politicians -- lagging as they 19 typically do -- probably won't go about doing that right away, 20 but I sure would appreciate the Solid Waste Advisory Committee 21 at least making the attempt to say that's what we need to do. I live in Nashville. I am embarrassed at 22 the amount of waste that we put out. And we send it to at 23 least ten different landfills in at least three different 24 states. The annual waste that Nashville puts out -- Davidson 25

> Cannon & Stacy Court Reporters (615) 822-9382

1 County -- is about a million tons of wastes.

2	A million tons let me give you an idea.
3	That is the weight of the entire U.S. Navy's fleet of ten
4	aircraft carriers that house an entire crew all ten of
5	them. About one-tenth of Nashville's population a huge
б	amount of waste. Just these things are huge. A hundred
7	thousand ton ships that hold a crew of 5,000.
8	It's unbelievable that we're and most
9	of this material is recyclable. We've got methods; we've
10	studied methods; done methods; demonstrated methods that work.
11	And we can't get political leaders to get out from under their
12	political contributions, like companies like BFI. And so it's
13	not happening.
14	But I would appreciate the Solid Waste
15	Advisory Committee helping us out and keeping that push going
16	because eventually those political leaders sometimes they, you
17	know, come around. It takes a while, you know, but we can get
18	there. And so I appreciate the support of the Municipal Waste
19	Advisory Committee being broad in this and doing the right
20	thing.
21	Thank you.
22	MS. FORCE: Good evening. My name is
23	Sharon Force. I will be brief because most of the points that
24	I wanted to make tonight have already been made by previous
25	speakers.

I did attend the earlier session when 1 2 Diane D'Arrigo -- is that how you say her name -- spoke. And one of the things that I was most affected by was the fact 3 4 that they said there are 16 states that have already passed legislation that prohibit the dumping of radioactive wastes in 5 the states. And an additional three states were by governors 6 who prohibited this. And two of these states -- Michigan and 7 8 California -- have sent their -- these are two of the states 9 that have legislation prohibiting the dumping of radioactive waste within their states. They've sent it to Tennessee. 10 11 I would suggest this Advisory Committee 12 that -- it would be suggested that if the state generates it, 13 the state ought to deal with it. And Tennessee should not be 14 the dumping ground for the rest of this country. And whatever 15 radioactive waste Tennessee generates, then we should deal 16 with it. And then we should make the very best effort we can 17 to decrease the amount of radioactive waste that we do 18 generate. 19 I would like to see the end to the myth 20 that nuclear energy is clean and safe. It is not. And we need to go in another direction. 21 22 Thank you for this opportunity to speak. 23 MR. HALL: My name is David Hall. I don't live at Walter Hill. I live on Clark Boulevard. And I do 24 25 drink the water that comes from the stream.

> Cannon & Stacy Court Reporters (615) 822-9382

1 What got me interested in this whole 2 situation to begin with was seeing the number of huge trailer trucks coming up Church Street from the south each time I went 3 4 to the post office. And it didn't matter what time of day, in 5 the five minutes that I spent on that street, I would meet one of these trucks. 6 7 Well, they are bringing a lot of trash 8 in. And this from all, apparently, of Southern and Middle Tennessee. There is more trucks than that coming from 9 Nashville. We have become -- as you can see from this flier 10 11 (indicating) that was handed out, we're a dumping ground for about 17 percent of the state's trash. 12 13 Now, this started out small. The camel 14 got his nose under the tent flap, and gradually he's gotten 15 the whole camel in. And the whole smelly beast is inside now. 16 We've got one of the biggest dumps anywhere around. 17 This is not good next to our water supply. And it's not going to go on forever. Because at the rate 18 19 they're going, they are going to soon have it filled up. Then 20 our trash is going to have to go somewhere else. And that is 21 going to cost us a good bit of money. Where is it going to 22 go? Nobody wants the thing. 23 So I think we need to start planning now for what are we going to do with our garbage ten years from 24 25 now when that dump is full. And, of course, the concern, too,

> Cannon & Stacy Court Reporters (615) 822-9382

1 is, what affect does this have on our water supply?

2 The question that I have not heard the answer to yet -- which was one of my original questions -- if 3 4 this stuff is really harmless, why are they spending large 5 sums of money trucking it clear across the country? Because Tennessee will take it, and the states that are sending it 6 don't want it. And they are willing to pay for sending it 7 8 here. This just doesn't add up. 9 I am a bean counter. I am used to working with figures. But I would like to see the cost that is being 10 11 incurred to do this. And we are the recipients on the short end of it, I think. 12 13 So I think we need to keep the pressure up 14 with our legislative delegation. We need to continue to be 15 concerned about this -- not just the nuclear stuff, but 16 everything that is going into that that potentially pollutes 17 our water supply -- and we really do need to bring an orderly 18 end to this huge amount of dumping that's going on in our 19 community. 20 It's a buildup area, and these folks at Walter Hill -- just the number of trucks I see just going 21 22 through town -- they're living with this 24/7 -- with the 23 noise, the trash that's along the roadways. And it's just not fair to them either. We just need to do something different. 24 25 MR. HIMES: Is Mr. Rucker here?

I would also like to call Mr. Stan Hayes 1 and Mr. -- well, I'll work on that one next. 2 3 MR. PITTS: My name is Edward Pitts. I 4 live on a family farm that has been in the family for 150 years. We are on the river across from the landfill. 5 6 My concern is the radioactive material 7 being hauled in there. What affect is this going to have on 8 my son, my granddaughter, my great grandson, and all the generations that's going to come with that? Are they going to 9 be able to live on this farm? Or is the radiation going to be 10 11 so great that they are going to have to move to somewhere 12 else? 13 My only source of water is the well. If 14 that landfill leaks and gets in my well, how am I going to 15 know it? I have no way. It's a great concern to me and also to my neighbors. I appeal for them. 16 17 Since the TV station in Nashville brought 18 this to the attention of the public, BFI has covered their 19 garbage each day. You do not have an odor. You do not have 20 the sight of that garbage of being there. Before that, I can't stay in my yard when the wind was out of the north from 21 the stench from that landfill. Now, since this has been 22 23 brought before the public, I can enjoy my yard. 24 Thank you. 25 MR. RUCKER: My name is John Rucker. I

> Cannon & Stacy Court Reporters (615) 822-9382

live in the Regency Park Subdivision, which, as the crow
 flies, is about a mile and a half from the landfill.

3 Members of the committee, I address you 4 tonight, as my understanding is, part of your charge is to hear comments from the public, and then to make a 5 recommendation back to the Legislature based on comments that 6 you hear from the public. And I ask you to please listen 7 8 carefully to these comments and don't be afraid to ask 9 questions. Don't be afraid to do investigations. Don't hesitate to question statistics that are brought to you, and 10 11 standards that are brought to you and where they come from and do they apply to this particular locale. 12 13 One question that I would ask the 14 Committee to ask is why the dumping of nuclear radioactive 15 waste in the BFI landfill was not brought to the public's 16 attention at the time that the expansion was being requested. 17 If this is safe, and if this is so carefully monitored and 18 guaranteed, why did someone from the state -- why did 19 someone -- not from TDEC -- why did not someone from BFI or 20 Allied or anyone else bring to the public's attention this at 21 the time that they were at -- that BFI was asking for the 22 expansion?

I really don't think there is an adequate answer to that question. I don't think you can say because it was safe or anything else. It was not brought to the public's

1 attention. And I think that question needs to be asked. Why? 2 Is it no surprise that the public has lost a level of confidence and trust in its state and in TDEC and 3 in BFI when something that is, by common sense, as important 4 to the public as this is, was not brought to the attention of 5 the public at the time BFI was wanting to expand the landfill 6 that is going to be dumping their -- dumping garbage near our 7 8 water supply for the next 15 to 20 years. I really question 9 why that was not brought to our attention. 10 I very much appreciate all the gentlemen that have come here and talked about standards and audits and 11 information. I find it amazing that they happen to show up 12 here in Murfreesboro, Tennessee, from all over the state, to 13 14 speak on their own. But if that is how they feel, then that 15 is fine. 16 But the analogy I would like to point out 17 is this: There are national standards for architects and 18 engineers for building buildings. A condominium that's built 19 in Nashville, Tennessee, is built in accordance with certain 20 standards -- architectural engineering standards. But that 21 same condominium that's built in Fort Lauderdale, Florida, is totally different, because they have a different environment 22 23 down there. Now, it's the same national standards. 24 25 It's the same engineering and architectural standards that

1 apply, but because of it's locale in Florida, it must be built
2 in a different way.

3 Now, these standards that have been cited 4 to us tonight, I assume are national standards. But we have a different situation in this county. We have a situation where 5 the dumping is taking place in our water supply. Now, are 6 these statistics and standards, that are applied all over the 7 8 country, applicable to a situation where you are dumping 9 within feet of the water supply? Ask that question. 10 And don't be satisfied with a yes. Don't be satisfied with a simple, yes, those standards apply. We 11 have taken them into consideration everywhere. Look at our 12 13 particular situation the same as an architect and engineer 14 must look at the situation of building a condominium complex 15 in Florida. 16 The landfill is operated by a company that 17 has either the inability or the unwillingness to control the 18 odor that is coming from the landfill. I have written to 19 Mr. Mike Apple for years asking him to do something about it. 20 And at the expansion hearing, he told me that all he can do is 21 apply what state law and state standards are. 22 So I would encourage this committee to point out to the Legislature that you need to equip the State 23 24 of Tennessee to address such issues as the odor, to address

25 such issues as radioactive material. And give the

State authority -- to give TDEC and others authority to 1 regulate and to monitor and to cut off an organization, such 2 as BFI, from continuing to take this type of information in 3 4 there. And that is what, among other things, I think this committee needs to do. 5 6 Sit down with Mike Apple. Sit down with the state people and find out what they need in order to 7 8 enforce something as simple as the odor. 9 It's very unfortunate that I can't, on many nights, enjoy sitting on my back porch outside because of 10 11 the odor that comes from that. And I realize we're dealing 12 with odor, we're dealing with nuclear waste -- but if they can't control odor, what are they doing about nuclear waste? 13 14 MR. HIMES: Is Stan Hayes here? 15 Stan Hayes? 16 (Pause) 17 MR. HIMES: Dennis Walsh. Dennis Walsh? 18 (Pause) 19 MR. HIMES: Edward -- is that Pitts? That lives on Drake Road. Is he here? 20 21 Sir? 22 AUDIENCE MEMBER: I'm going to pass. 23 MR. HIMES: Oh, okay. 24 Debra Fisher. Is Debra here? Please come 25 on down.

Amanda Eaton. Amanda Eaton? Is Amanda 1 2 here? 3 (Pause) 4 MR. HIMES: Mr. Brad Hupper? 5 (Pause) MR. HIMES: Ruth Alford? Is Ruth here --6 Ms. Alford? 7 8 (Pause) 9 MR. HIMES: Ms. Alford is not here? 10 And Norman Weatherby. Mr. Norman Weatherby, please come on down. 11 12 Go ahead and give us your comments. Thank 13 you. 14 MS. FISHER: Hello. My name is 15 Debra Fisher. I'm a retired engineer. I worked for a 16 few years for TVA. And for 11 years, I lived within the 17 three-mile radius of Sequoyah Nuclear Plant, in East 18 Tennessee. 19 Recently -- well, a year and a half ago I 20 moved here from East Tennessee because I lived 12 miles from Oak Ridge. And I thought Oak Ridge was just too hazardous to 21 deal with. Because of living there, I know too many people 22 23 impacted by what came out of Oak Ridge and what's happening to 24 citizens around that community. 25 I brought my four grandchildren I'm now

raising to Rutherford County thinking Rutherford County would
 be a nice place to live. Maybe a little safer than where I
 lived before. But as the young lady said earlier, I think I
 was sadly wrong.

5 When people talk about BSFR and low-level 6 radioactive waste, I can assure you as an engineer one thing, 7 despite all your experts, everything that's been said, 8 radioactivity doesn't go away. It doesn't dissipate, except 9 over a course of 500 to 1,000 years when the half-life expires 10 for the first time around.

And those of you that believe what they're saying, I think they're wrong. I've been in there. I've studied it. I've worked with it. I know what it does. And that's one thing I want to say about that.

In this country, back about the time Tennessee made its decision to open these landfills and accept this waste, there was six other landfills that accepted this type of waste. Three of them were closed because they were leaking and harming the public; three of them were shortly closed. Tennessee soon rose to the occasion and said we'll take the waste.

22 So you have to ask yourself, all these 23 years you've been sitting here and this has been going on, why 24 didn't it come to light? Well, far away in California they're 25 trying to close what's called the "Tennessee loophole." They

don't even understand in California why we are continuing to
 accept this waste and why our citizens don't know what's going
 on.

4 Now, I have to ask how a state all the way 5 across the country from us is concerned and we haven't been. I thought maybe it's money. I try to follow the fees. I 6 tried to follow where it's coming from. I researched on the 7 8 Internet and finally found the Environmental Handbook with the 9 source of fees listed. The fees range anywhere from 600 to transport it in a truck to 375,000 to dump it in the landfill. 10 The State is making money. 11 12 Besides this fact that this landfill has 13 been here for 20 years, the questions are, what do you do now? 14 I called the water company and I said, 15 "How is my water filtered?" The water is filtered with

16 coagulation filtration.

I don't know if any of you-all are familiar with that process, but let me tell you, it doesn't take the radionuclides out of your water. It can take out uranium, but it won't take out radium-226 or radium-228. It won't take out this radium. The only way to do that, if you are concerned -- because now it looks the answer is, what do we do to protect ourselves?

Drinking water is a big concern. Drinkingwater for this whole county is going to be impacted by what

comes out of that landfill. Your water company needs to have
 a reverse osmosis system. That's the only thing that can
 help. But so far, I haven't found a water treatment plant
 that's using it.

5 It is used in other states. It is used in 6 other parts of the country and other parts of the world. I 7 don't know why it's not being used here knowing the time bomb 8 that's sitting over at Walter Hill. But it's not.

9 So my recommendation to the Committee is, 10 perhaps, we need to stop taking waste like this in the state 11 of Tennessee. It is the great state of Tennessee, and I would 12 like it to stay that way.

13 The other thing I think they need to 14 consider is, if you're going to take this kind of waste -- and 15 there might be a potential for that liner -- that other people 16 have mentioned -- doesn't have a longer life span than about 17 30 years to break down -- and when that leachate goes into the 18 water supply, what are you going to do? That's not the time 19 to think about it, then. You need to get the steps in place 20 to prevent all of us, all your children, all your 21 grandchildren from being impacted by that water that they're 22 drinking. Because you can wash in it, and it might not hurt you so bad; but when you drink it, that's when the damage 23 starts. So I am just asking the Committee to take that into 24 25 consideration.

1 And for the gentleman -- Mr. McHugh --2 that says the Japanese come here to learn from us, I am a retired engineer; I'm retired because of occupational lead 3 4 exposure from working for a Japanese company that the State of Tennessee allowed to do the things they did. And OSHA did 5 too. So don't talk to me about, oh, it's safe. Don't talk to 6 7 me about, oh, you're protected. Because I live it every day. 8 I don't live in Walter Hill, but I'd like 9 to see all the children in this county to be able drink the 10 water. 11 And that's all I have to say about that. MR. BURKE: Good evening. My name is 12 13 Bristol (phonetically) Burke. I have lived in Tennessee now 14 for a little over 30 years. I married a girl from 15 Shelbyville, Tennessee, and we have three sons, the youngest 16 of which got married yesterday. So they're going to be 17 raising a family. And that's one of the reasons why these 18 things don't go away because -- see, I'll be dead in a few 19 years. I am 71, almost 72 now. I don't have all that much 20 longer to live. But I have three sons that, hopefully, have 21 quite a few years to live and their children have even more 22 years to live. 23 After hearing the lady just before me, I am awfully glad that my youngest son decided to install a 24 25 reverse osmosis filtration system in our kitchen so that we

> Cannon & Stacy Court Reporters (615) 822-9382

1 have our own water.

2 Now, what I really want to talk about was 3 the standards -- the strict federal standards that govern the storage and waste and so on. What I would like to point out 4 to you is this: Now, when I was a kid, I just assumed that if 5 our Government said it, we could trust it. And I really hate 6 it that it turns out now that I may have been right then, but 7 8 I certainly am not right now. 9 The present administration has quite a

history of telling scientists what their results should be. 10 11 And if you don't give me the results I -- don't give them the results they want to hear, you don't have a job anymore. And 12 13 so there are tame scientists, unfortunately, that will tell 14 this administration what it wants to hear, which is generally 15 whatever companies like BFI -- and I don't want to pick on 16 them because there's other waste management, there's a number 17 of other such companies. But what the federal administration 18 wants to hear is what those companies want to hear.

And so I wouldn't dare trust the standards -- the gentleman that spoke about -- that implements them, they may sincerely believe that they're protecting the public the way it should be protected. But can we depend on it? I don't think so. And I really hate to have to say that. I, too, live not too far from that landfill at Walter Hill. We live in the Ridge Crest

Subdivision off of Compton Road, about halfway between the 1 Veteran's Hospital and Lascassas Road. So we smell it every 2 so often, when the wind is the right way. And we're probably 3 4 too close to it. 5 Thank goodness my youngest son that just got married is going off to Ontario to get his master's degree 6 in another month and a half, so he and his wife will be -- his 7 8 new wife will be a little father away from it. 9 But, you know, I just warn you, these gentlemen that talked about that may be completely honest and 10 11 believe what they're saying, but it still may be wrong. So be careful and study it. 12 Thank you. 13 14 MR. WEATHERBY: Good evening. My name is 15 Norman Weatherby. I have a Ph.D. in population studies and 16 applied statistics, and my specialty is causes of deaths. I 17 want to talk first about risks -- the concept of risks, and 18 second I want to make some recommendations to the Committee. 19 Now, in the 1980s, the concept of risks 20 was discussed and defined, primarily, at Columbia University and the population counsel in New York City. I was there. I 21 22 was part of the discussions. 23 What they decided was the following: Individual people -- that is each of you -- is either at risk 24 25 or not at risk. There is no such thing as low risk. No such

thing. You're either at risk or not at risk. The bottom line
 is that each of us is at risk of radiation exposure from the
 landfill.

4 Now, the reason why is, that the liner has failed. And it will fail, and it's already too late. So my 5 personal recommendations to the Committee, for their 6 consideration, first, stop radioactive waste dumping at Middle 7 8 Point and other landfills in Tennessee and continue the 9 moratorium that is in existence right now. 10 Second, contain the radioactive waste 11 that's there. 12 Third, clean up the radioactive waste. 13 And I realize that's a very expensive thing to do. 14 Fourth, since I have worked with TDEC I 15 know about this, you've got to provide adequate funding to 16 TDEC to make sure that they have the money to contain -- to 17 monitor and to contain and to remove. TDEC does not have 18 enough funding. 19 And fifth, if the radioactive waste is so 20 safe that we can take it for a while, stop taking it here and 21 take it to another state. 22 Thank you very much. 23 MR. HIMES: Okay. Thank you. 24 That was all the cards that have been 25 submitted tonight from people who wanted to make comments for

> Cannon & Stacy Court Reporters (615) 822-9382

the Advisory Committee. 1 2 All right. Is there anyone else that 3 would like to say anything? 4 Yes? 5 MR. PEAY: I have one last comment that I didn't have time to get on the front end. About two weeks 6 ago, for those of you live out at the Walter Hill area -- I 7 8 didn't confirm this with the guys that are here -- but they 9 started dumping on the side of the road next to 231. Probably haven't seen that in a long time. That's that Section 1 I 10 11 talked about earlier. Well, Section 1, it started having the cap 12 13 put on it. And for them to go back in there -- basically, 14 what they did -- there's some spots that were in this section 15 that had sunk down lower than expected, and the contour was 16 low. Well, that's space and that's money. So they went back 17 in there, and they put more garbage on top of this. 18 That's a direct violation of their permit. 19 They were told by employees there that that was a direct 20 violation of their permit. They went ahead and did it anyway. And then I was told that they went to TDEC and asked for 21 forgiveness, and got it. 22 23 Now, I want that question answered. Is that a fact? If it is, we need to stop this. You know, we 24 25 can't trust these people.

25

1 That gentleman back there in the back 2 (indicating), I know you said this was all your fault. I don't think this is your fault. Now, you were talking about 3 4 the nuclear waste in particular. I'm talking about managing this landfill and how it's done, and it needs to be done 5 6 correctly. 7 These people -- and I've been told BFI, in 8 particular, was some of the best people in the world as far as 9 handling waste. But this facility is not being handled correctly. And my question is, how many other violations have 10 11 they done that we don't know about? And maybe you don't know about. And I'd like the answer to that. 12 13 The gentleman back there (indicating) said 14 specifically -- I've got my e-mail copied down right here 15 (indicating), and I'm going to hand it to you on my way out. 16 But I want the answer to that question. How many violations 17 has TDEC came back and okayed after the fact? And why do you 18 do this at all? 19 Thank you. 20 MR. HIMES: Yes, ma'am? AUDIENCE MEMBER: At the beginning of this 21 22 meeting we were notified of all the public commissioners who 23 were here. We were not notified of how many of the Solid Waste Advisory Committee people are here. I'd like to know 24

> Cannon & Stacy Court Reporters (615) 822-9382

that number, please. And I'd like to know who they are.

MR. HIMES: I introduced them. 1 2 AUDIENCE MEMBER: How many were there? 3 Out of 17, how many were here? 4 I see two hands. 5 MR. HIMES: I think five or six. 6 AUDIENCE MEMBER: That's a pretty poor representation, I believe. 7 8 MR. HIMES: Yes? 9 MS. ALLEN: May I ask one question? MR. HIMES: Yes, ma'am. 10 11 MS. ALLEN: My name is Susan Allen. And the only question I have -- in reading the article in the 12 Daily News Journal about TDEC's testing of samples from our 13 14 landfill, it sounded to me -- as I was reading the article --15 as though Allied Waste supplied the sample to TDEC for 16 testing. My question is, is this accurate? And if so, why 17 doesn't TDEC take the samples to be tested itself? 18 Thank you. 19 MR. HIMES: Thank you. 20 Yes, ma'am? MS. ALLGOOD: Hi. My name is 21 22 Betsy Allgood. And my question is that, if the Solid Waste 23 Advisory Committee is here to hear what Murfreesboro citizens 24 and Rutherford County citizens have to say, and there is only 25 five out of 17 members here, how is the Solid Waste Advisory

Committee going to receive our comments? It just doesn't seem 1 right that there's only five of them here. There's 17 on the 2 3 Board. That's it. 4 Thank you. 5 MR. HIMES: Maybe I will answer part of your questions. All the responses and comments and questions 6 that you have will be submitted to all of the board members. 7 8 MS. ALLGOOD: Are they going to watch the video? 9 10 MR. HIMES: Yeah. They will grab that 11 presentation. I don't know how many were there, but they will hear everything that was stated here tonight. 12 13 MS. ALLGOOD: It doesn't seem like we're 14 very important if only five of them come here. 15 MR. HIMES: Well, I'm sure it does. Or I 16 certainly hope that it does. And all of them will get 17 everything that was said here tonight. 18 Yes, sir? 19 MR. DANIEL: Just one brief comment. I am 20 Jim Daniel. And my question -- all through the years of practicing law I have seen and heard all kinds of edge plays. 21 And what I am interested in is this, when I objected -- it was 22 23 because the equivalent of this -- how many of you would like to go in to a trial and see the DA go up and stick a guilty 24 25 sign on the Judge's bench. Now, how would you feel? That's

1 all I'm asking. 2 MR. HIMES: Anyone else? 3 Yes, sir? 4 MR. PHILLIPS: I would just like to say 5 something. 6 MR. HIMES: Come on down here, if you would like. 7 8 AUDIENCE MEMBER: I would just like to say one thing. And I think my voice is loud enough. 9 10 Please stay united. Because you will have 11 officials and different ones that will come in and divide you. That's what they did to us in Dickson County and said this is 12 not right and that's not right and this is wrong and that's 13 14 wrong. And divided you will fall; united you will stand. 15 Please stay you united on your decision. 16 MR. PHILLIPS: My name is John Phillips. 17 I have lived in Rutherford County all my life. I was born in 18 the Walter Hill community. I'm down the road a little piece 19 on Jefferson Pike, which is a very dangerous road. I lost my 20 son in a wreck on that road, and I've tried for years to get this road worked -- just to widen it a little bit and make it 21 a little safer. 22 23 But these big trucks -- I witnessed -- I 24 have a rental house on the road. I happened to be down there 25 one morning on my tractor on my farm. And I have actually

1 witnessed a -- two trucks collide. The farmer across the road 2 was turning. One truck come off of 840 too fast -- loaded. 3 He slowed up for the truck in front of him, Mr. Hayes --4 Stan Hayes' father -- and the other truck right behind him was 5 going just as fast -- loaded -- run smack-dab right in the 6 back of the other one.

7 I stood there and watched those people go 8 crazy -- those drivers -- not knowing what's on these trucks. 9 They're not marked. And there I was within feet -- just a few feet of those truckers. My concern was to help those truck 10 11 drivers. But you know what I had on my tractor that day? And it's very unusual. I had a camera. I made lots of pictures 12 13 of these trucks. I made lots of pictures of these drivers, 14 how they reacted.

One driver, after the truck had caught on -- started smoking, he went back in his truck, believe it or not, to get a briefcase or something. I stood there not believing my eyes what I was seeing. Some guy would get out of his truck of fire and then go back into it.

I seen this truck -- the other truck pulled up away from this truck. It was damaged, but he pulled up so it wouldn't catch on fire -- the one in front. The one in the back, it busted it open in flames and then it exploded. This truck exploded loaded with garbage. I have pictures of it. If anybody in here wants to see it at anytime, I will be

1 glad to let you see these pictures.

2	I have great concern of the transportation
3	of this trash into Middle Tennessee, Rutherford County,
4	Murfreesboro. And I tell you, I love this county more than I
5	can say. I love the people here. I know our county has grown
6	a lot. But I am the one I have been here all of my life.
7	My grandfather, great grandfather I have some kinfolk right
8	here in this right here, right now. And he is concerned
9	too. He wouldn't be here.
10	But I seen I went to the Walter Hill
11	School for 12 years. It used to be one through twelfth grade.
12	I went to Walter Hill School for 12 years. Walter Hill is a
13	great community with great people. And I seen this community
14	little by little by little be degraded by this landfill. The
15	smell of it, the truck traffic, the mud on the road when it
16	rains it didn't do much good to wash your vehicle or
17	spend half a day cleaning your vehicle up because if you went
18	down that road, on Jefferson Pike, looks like you've been
19	through the landfill when you come out the other side of it.
20	And the idea of bringing something in our
21	county out of state from California, Michigan, Washington
22	State men and women, this is a long ways from Rutherford
23	County. I mean, if this stuff is no harm as this guy right
24	back here said (indicating) I seen him on TV the other
25	day and this one (indicating) why would they haul that

garbage that far to Rutherford County, of all places? Why? I
 mean, it don't make sense. You don't have to be a rocket
 scientist to figure this out.

I mean, I've listened to you-all. You people are supposed to be experts. They come in here from everywhere and they say, "I know all the answers." Well, they don't know all the answers. Only the one above knows all the answers. I'll tell you that right now.

9 And I'll tell you another thing. I'm 10 highly, highly disappointed in BFI, Allied, and state 11 representatives -- some of them -- and some of these 12 committees that some of you-all people belong to. You ought 13 to be ashamed of yourself. You ought to be ashamed of 14 yourself to allow this to happen right here right under our 15 nose.

16 You know, when I knew they was dumping 17 this highly toxic mess they're putting in this landfill --18 goodbye, sir. Well, anyway, I tell you I heard it on TV. And 19 I've lived here all my life. There's not been one thing in 20 the newspaper. Nothing. There's not been anything announced on the TV. Nothing. And I talked to our representatives here 21 22 in this county, they didn't even know it. Now, something is 23 wrong, you-all.

24The ones on these committees, you better25wake up. It may be already too late. Wake up. Protect the

citizens of Tennessee. I mean, it's a disgrace for you-all 1 people to do what you're doing to us. And you can nod your 2 head, you can do what you want to, but it's a disgrace to us 3 4 as people in Tennessee, Rutherford County, Murfreesboro. 5 I shouldn't even be here right now. I shouldn't even be worried about what might happen. I mean, my 6 life -- I've had a good life. It may be over soon. But I'm 7 8 talking about the future generation here in Rutherford County. 9 Our life -- for these young people -- if you continue to dump this stuff -- if you dump this -- I don't 10 11 know what else you've already dumped in there that we don't know about. I may turn to Channel 4 or Channel 5 or Channel 2 12 News one evening, and it could be something else. 13 14 So the people that are on these 15 committees, our representatives, please do what's right. And 16 don't do it for the money or the big corporations, do it for 17 the people of Tennessee, Rutherford County. 18 Thank you-all. 19 MR. HIMES: Thank you. 20 Anybody else? If you would, come down. 21 We will try to limit your comments to about three and then we 22 will wrap up. MR. VANDERHARST: John Vanderharst. A 23 practical suggestion for the Committee to consider that we did 24 25 a variation of in Davidson County is the mono-filling of this

> Cannon & Stacy Court Reporters (615) 822-9382

1 material in question -- a separate cell for it. We did that 2 with potash. In retrospect, that was a smart move. And that 3 might offer an interesting solution in the future when we do 4 more landfill mining to recover stuff that we formally thought 5 we should just dump in holes in the ground.

6 You know, there's going to be some closed 7 nuclear power plants that are hot for the next, you know, 8 thousands of years. It might actually increase our safety to them having mono-filled this material. If it's so safe, 9 supposedly, dig it back up, and we can cart it off to one of 10 11 these nuclear power plants that's been shut down and just has 12 to be isolated for thousands of years. And we can just bury 13 the plant in this safe material to protect us from the really 14 hot stuff. And then we'll really be glad we separated this 15 material and kept it separate so we could use it to make it 16 safer.

```
MR. HIMES: Thank you, John.
Any other comments?
MR. QUARLES: I am Mark Quarles. I spoke
earlier.
```

I just want to kind of let everybody know to just put things in perspective. The State is making some broad glorious claims about everything is clean when you compare the leachate and the sludge to other municipalities, like Clarksville. That's an example. Keep in mind that's

1 really an apples and oranges comparison.

As the gentleman from the Department of Rad Health said to me earlier, you know, every landfill is going to be different based on the wastes that are going there, the soil type, and a few other conditions -- that sort of thing.

7 So to really understand the relevance of 8 the samples that they presented -- and the media is claiming 9 there is nothing wrong -- you need some continuous monitoring 10 over time, just as they do the groundwater monitoring 11 semiannually -- quarterly.

As a matter of fact, to really understand the relevance of the data, you're supposed to collect that kind of information to know whether or not you have a problem before you place the waste to establish, you know, kind of the background conditions.

17 And also keep in mind, leachate is really 18 rainwater that's infiltrated into the waste. And we're in the 19 middle of a ten-year drought right now, so not a lot rainwater 20 going on to percolate through that waste. So, again, 21 long-term continuous monitoring is really necessary for that. 22 And while we are all on the subject of water intake and common sense, just for your information, if 23 24 you look at the aerial paragraph that was in most of these 25 seats, the Rutherford County landfill is immediately across

the river from the water intake. That landfill has no liner. 1 And they only require the intake -- Rutherford County to 2 3 sample for volatile organic compound one time per year. 4 I'm getting flashbacks, Ms. Wright, of 5 Dickson County. 6 So keep in mind that Rutherford County could be a sleeping giant, although there's no indications 7 BSFR wastes were put there -- equally serious issues going on 8 with that landfill as well. 9 10 So, again, keep the leachate comparisons 11 in perspective. Just because they're lower than Clarksville 12 means nothing, in the big scheme of things. You need long-term evaluations of that landfill's leachate. 13 14 Thank you. 15 MR. HIMES: Thank you. 16 Anyone else? 17 (Pause) 18 MR. HIMES: You-all have been extremely 19 patient. We appreciate all the comments and the questions 20 that you've made. Hope you have a safe trip home. Good 21 night. Thank you. 22 (End of the proceedings.) 23 24 25

> Cannon & Stacy Court Reporters (615) 822-9382

```
REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
 1
 2
    STATE OF TENNESSEE
                        )
 3
   COUNTY OF SMITH
                        )
 4
                        I, Amanda F. Martin, court reporter and
 5
   notary public in and for the State of Tennessee,
 6
                        DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing
 7
    transcript of the proceedings were taken on the date and place
 8
    set forth in the caption thereof; that the proceedings were
 9
    stenographically reported by me in shorthand; and the
10
    foregoing proceedings constitute a true and correct transcript
11
   of said proceedings to the best of my ability.
12
                        I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not related to
13
   any of the parties named herein, nor their counsel, and have
14
   no interest, financial or otherwise, in the outcome or events
15
   of this action.
16
                        IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto
17
   affixed my official signature and seal of office, this 3rd day
18
   of August, 2007.
19
20
                                 Amanda F. Martin
21
                                 Notary Public, State of Tennessee
22
   My Commission Expires: March 15, 2010.
23
24
25
                            Cannon & Stacy
                            Court Reporters
```

```
(615) 822-9382
```